

*Władysław Wilczyński and Genowefa Rzepecka*

## TWO REMARKS ABOUT SURFACES

It is shown that among continuous functions defined on the unit square and non-decreasing with respect to each variable separately there is neither a function with the greatest nor a function with the smallest surface area.

We shall introduce the following denotations. Let

$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] : f \text{ is a continuous,}$   
non-decreasing function,  
 $f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f(1) = 1\}$

$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{z : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1] : z \text{ is a continuous function,}$   
 $z(0, 0) = 0 \text{ and } z(1, 1) = 1,$   
 $z(x, y) \text{ is non-decreasing as}$   
a function of one variable  
for each  $x \in [0, 1],$   
 $z(x, y) \text{ is non-decreasing as}$   
a function of one variable  $x$   
for each  $y \in [0, 1]\}$ .

We shall denote by  $L_1(f)$  the length of a curve  $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and by  $L_2(z)$  the area of the surface  $z \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Respectively  $|A|_1$  will denote the measure of a linear set  $A \subset [0, 1]$ ,  $|B|_2$  the measure of a planar set  $B \subset [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . It can be easily shown that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  with the metric

$$\rho(f_1, f_2) = \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f_1(x) - f_2(x)|$$

is a complete space. Also we can prove that  $\mathcal{F}_2$  with the metric

$$\rho(z_1, z_2) = \sup_{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2} |z_1(x, y) - z_2(x, y)|$$

is a complete space. It is known that

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_1} L_1(f) = 2, \quad \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}_1} L_1(f) = \sqrt{2}$$

where least upper bound is reached by the most of functions since  $\{f \in \mathcal{F}_1 : L_1(f) = 2\}$  is a residual set in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and greatest lower bound is reached for one function  $f(x) = x$ .

We shall recall some definitions concerning the surface areas. We say that the continuous function  $P : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defines a polyhedral if there exists a subdivision of  $[0, 1]^2$  into a finite number of non-overlapping triangles  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n$  such that

$$P(x, y) = a_i x + b_i y + c_i \text{ for } (x, y) \in T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where  $a_i, b_i, c_i$  are constant coefficients for a fixed triangle  $T_i$ . The sum of the areas of the faces in the sense of elementary geometry i.e. the number

$$\sum_i |T_i|_2 (a_i^2 + b_i^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \iint_{[0, 1]^2} \left( \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dy$$

is called an elementary area. Let  $F : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any continuous function defining a surface. By the surface area  $L_2(F)$  we shall mean the lower limit of elementary areas of polyhedrals uniformly

convergent to  $F$ , i.e. the lower bound of all numbers  $s$  such that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a polyhedral  $P : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that for any  $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$   $|P(x, y) - F(x, y)| < \epsilon$  and  $L_2(p) \leq s$ .

The variation of functions of two real variables in the sense of Tonelli is defined in the following way :

Let  $F : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be any continuous function. For any  $x \in [0, 1]$  let  $w_1(F, x, [0, 1])$  be the total variation of  $F(x, y), 0 \leq y \leq 1$  as a function of  $y$  only. For any  $y \in [0, 1]$  let  $w_2(F, y, [0, 1])$  be the total variation of  $F(x, y), 0 \leq x \leq 1$  as a function of  $x$  only. Because of the continuity of  $F(x, y)$  non-negative functions  $w_1(F, x, [0, 1]), w_2(F, y, [0, 1])$  are lower semicontinuous functions of variables  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. When integrals

$$\int_0^1 w_1(F, x, [0, 1])dx \text{ and } \int_0^1 w_2(F, y, [0, 1])dy$$

are finite, function  $F$  is said to be of bounded variation in  $[0, 1]^2$  in the sense of Tonelli (B.V.T.). Hence we have immediately that any function of bounded variation of two variables  $(x, y)$  is a function of bounded variation as a function of  $x$  for almost all  $y$ , and it is a function of bounded variation as a function of  $y$  for almost all  $x$ .

Obviously for  $z \in \mathcal{F}_2$  we have

$$w_1(F, x, [0, 1]) \leq 1 \text{ for any } x \in [0, 1]$$

and

$$w_2(F, y, [0, 1]) \leq 1 \text{ for any } y \in [0, 1]$$

thus

$$\int_0^1 w_1(F, x, [0, 1])dx \leq 1$$

and

$$\int_0^1 w_2(F, y, [0, 1])dy \leq 1.$$

By Tonelli theorem (1926) [see Cesari, p. 4] we have that if  $z \in \mathcal{F}_2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |[0, 1]^2|_2 \leq L_2(z) &\leq |[0, 1]^2|_2 + \int_0^1 w_1(F, x, [0, 1])dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 w_2(F, y, [0, 1])dy \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}_2} L_2(z) \leq 3 \text{ and } \inf_{z \in \mathcal{F}_2} L_2(z) \geq 1.$$

**Theorem 1.**

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}_2} L_2(z) = 3$$

*Proof.* Let

$$z_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \begin{cases} 0 \leq x \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 \leq y \leq 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{cases} \\ nx + (1 - n) & \text{for } \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq x \end{cases} \\ ny + (1 - n) & \text{for } \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq y \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq x \leq y \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

for any  $n \in \mathcal{N} - \{1\}$ .

Then the surface area of  $z_n$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(z_n) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 + 2 \frac{1 + 1 - \frac{1}{n}}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n^2}} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 + \left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_2(z_n) = 3.$$

Hence we have immediately  $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}_2} L_2(z) = 3$ .

**Theorem 2.** If  $z \in \mathcal{F}_2$  then  $L_2(z) < 3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then obviously

$$0 \leq z(0, 0) \leq z(1, 0) \leq z(1, 1) = 1.$$

At least one of the above inequalities must be proper. Suppose it is the first one. The proof in the other case is analogous. Thus we have  $0 = z(0, 0) < z(1, 0)$ . By the property of Darboux of the

function  $z(x, 0)$  we have easily that there is a point  $x_o \in [0, 1]$  such that  $0 < z(x_o, 0) < 1$ . Then we have for  $x \in [x_o, 1]$

$$z(x_o, 0) \leq z(x, 0).$$

Simultaneously, as  $w_1(z, x, [0, 1]) = z(x, 1) - z(x, 0)$  so for  $x \in [x_o, 1]$  the inequality

$$w_1(z, x, [0, 1]) \leq 1 - z(x_o, 0) < 1$$

holds. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 w_1(z, x, [0, 1]) dx &= \int_0^{x_o} w_1(z, x, [0, 1]) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{x_o}^1 w_1(z, x, [0, 1]) dx \\ &\leq x_o \cdot 1 + (1 - x_o)(1 - z(x_o, 0)) < 1 \end{aligned}$$

which immediately results in the inequality  $L_2(z) < 3$ .

**Theorem 3.**

$$\inf_{z \in \mathcal{F}_2} L_2(z) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$z_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \begin{cases} 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ y \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n} - x \end{cases} \\ nx + ny + 1 - 2n & \text{for } \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \\ 2 - \frac{1}{n} - x \leq y \leq 1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

for any  $n \in \mathcal{N} - \{1\}$ .

$$L(z_n) = 1 - \frac{1}{2n^2} + \sqrt{\frac{2n^2 + 1}{n^2}}.$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(z_n) = 1$  so  $\inf_{z \in \mathcal{F}_2} L_2(z) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.** If  $z \in \mathcal{F}_2$  then  $L_2(z) > 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $L_2(z) = 1$ . Then (see Saks p. 181, Theorem 8.1, a,b,c) as  $z \in \mathcal{F}_2$  so

$$1 = L_2(z) \geq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dy \geq 1.$$

Thus the equalities hold. In particular from

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dy = 1.$$

it follows that the subintegral function is almost everywhere equal to 1. Hence

$$\left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 = 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Thus  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 0$  a.e. Since

$$L_2(z) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left( \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \right)^2 + 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx dy$$

so  $z(x, y)$  is absolutely continuous in the sense of Tonelli (shortly A.C.T.) so for almost all  $y_0 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $z(x, y_0)$  is absolutely continuous as a function of the variable  $y$ . Let

$$E_1 = \left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}(x, y) = 0 \right\}$$

$$E_2 = \left\{ (x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}(x, y) = 0 \right\}.$$

We know that  $|E_1|_2 = 1$  and  $|E_2|_2 = 1$ . Let

$$A_1 = \{y \in [0, 1] : |(E_1)^y|_1 = 1\}$$

where

$$(E_1)^y = \{x \in [0, 1] : (x, y) \in E_1\}$$

and

$$A_2 = \{x \in [0, 1] : |(E_1)_x|_1 = 1\}$$

where

$$(E_2)_x = \{y \in [0, 1] : (x, y) \in E_2\}.$$

Obviously  $|A_1|_1 = 1$  and  $|A_2|_1 = 1$ . Let

$$B_1 = \{y \in [0, 1] : z(x, y) \text{ is a.c. as a function of } x\}$$

$$B_2 = \{x \in [0, 1] : z(x, y) \text{ is a.c. as a function of } y\}.$$

We know by A.C.T. that  $|B_1|_1 = |B_2|_1 = 1$ . Let  $y \in A_1 \cap B_1$ . Then  $z(x, y_o)$  is a.c. since  $y_o \in B_1$  and for almost all  $x_o \in [0, 1]$   $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}(x, y_o) = 0$  since  $y_o \in A_1$ . Hence

$$(1) \quad z(1, y_o) - z(0, y_o) = 0.$$

Let  $x_o \in A_2 \cap B_2$ . Then  $z(x_o, y)$  is a.c. since  $x_o \in B_2$  and for almost all  $y \in [0, 1]$   $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}(x_o, y) = 0$  since  $x_o \in A_2$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad z(x_o, 1) - z(x_o, 0) = 0$$

By (1) we have

$$(3) \quad z(x, y_o) = z(0, y_o) \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1]$$

and by (2) we have

$$(4) \quad z(x_o, y) = z(1, y) \text{ for all } y \in [0, 1].$$

Thus by (3) and (4) we have  $z(0, y_o) = z(x_o, y_o) = z(x_o, 1)$ . Thus  $z(0, y_o) = z(x_o, 1)$ .

Since  $|A_1 \cap B_1|_1 = |A_2 \cap B_2|_1 = 1$  thus  $A_1 \cap B_1$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$  and  $A_2 \cap B_2$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ . We shall take the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \subset A_2 \cap B_2$  and such that  $x_n$  tends to 1 increasingly and the sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}} \subset A_1 \cap B_1$  and such that  $y_n$  tends to 0 decreasingly. We have  $z(0, y_n) = z(x_n, 1)$  for any  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ . By the continuity of the function  $z(x, y)$  we have  $z(0, 0) = z(1, 1)$ , and this contradicts the fact that  $z(0, 0) = 0$  and  $z(1, 1) = 1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, Warsaw, 1937.
- [2] L. Cesari, *Surface area*, New York, 1965.
- [3] L. Tonelli, *Sur la quadrature des surfaces*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **182** (1926), 1198-1200.

*Władysław Wilczyński i Genowefa Rzepecka*

**DWIE UWAGI O POWIERZCHNIACH**

Pokazujemy, że wśród funkcji ciągłych określonych na kwadracie jednostkowym i niemalejących ze względu na każdą zmienną nie istnieje ani funkcja o największym, ani o najmniejszym polu powierzchni.

Institute of Mathematics

Lódź University

ul. Banacha 22, 90 - 238 Lódź, Poland