

Andrzej Piątkowski

ON THE *-HOLONOMY
OF THE INVERSE IMAGE
OF A STEFAN FOLIATION

Let \mathcal{F}' be a Stefan foliation ([3]) of a manifold M' and let $f: M \rightarrow M'$ be a smooth mapping transverse to \mathcal{F}' ([4]). We show that there exists a natural homomorphism of the *-holonomy groupoid ([2]) of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$ into the *-holonomy groupoid of \mathcal{F} .

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a Stefan foliation was introduced in ([3]). In 1986 Ver Eecke [4] showed that if $f: M \rightarrow M'$ is a smooth mapping transverse to a Stefan foliation \mathcal{F}' on M' , then the decomposition $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$ of M is a Stefan foliation. In section 2 of the present paper we prove this fact in terms of distinguished charts.

By the *-holonomy we mean the same object which was defined in [2] as holonomy. This new designation is introduced in order to distinguish it from the Ehresmann holonomy ([1], [4]). In section 2 we recall the definition of a *-holonomy.

The main theorem of our paper, given in section 4, is the following:

There exists a natural homomorphism of the *-holonomy groupoid of $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$ into the *-holonomy groupoid of \mathcal{F} .

The analogous result for the Ehresmann holonomy was proved in [4].

2. STEFAN FOLIATIONS AND A *-HOLONOMY

Let M be a paracompact and connected manifold of class C^∞ . Let $m = \dim M$. In [3], Stefan gave the following definition:

(2.1) A decomposition \mathcal{F} of M into connected immersed submanifolds is called a foliation if, for each $x \in M$, there exists a chart φ of M which satisfies the conditions:

(i) $\varphi: D_\varphi \rightarrow U_\varphi \times W_\varphi$ is a diffeomorphism onto $U_\varphi \times W_\varphi$ where U_φ and W_φ are connected neighbourhoods of 0 in \mathbb{R}^k and \mathbb{R}^{m-k} , respectively (k being the dimension of that element of \mathcal{F} , denoted by L_x , which contains x);

(ii) $\varphi(x) = (0, 0)$;

(iii) for each $L \in \mathcal{F}$, the equality $\varphi(D_\varphi \cap L) = U_\varphi \times \ell$ with $\ell = \{w \in W_\varphi; \varphi^{-1}(0, w) \in L\}$ holds.

The chart φ satisfying the above conditions is called a distinguished chart of \mathcal{F} around x . The elements of \mathcal{F} are said to be leaves of the foliation \mathcal{F} . If $L \in \mathcal{F}$ then each connected component of $L \cap D_\varphi$ is called a plaque of φ in L . In particular, $P_\varphi := \varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{0\})$ is a plaque which is said to be central.

(2.2) Let φ be a distinguished chart around x and let G be an open neighbourhood of 0 in W_φ . It is easy to check that the mapping

$$\varphi_G := \varphi|_{\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times G)}$$

is a distinguished chart of \mathcal{F} around x , too.

Let G be an arbitrary neighbourhood of 0 in W_φ . Define in G the equivalence relation \sim_{φ_G} in the following way: $w \sim_{\varphi_G} w'$ if and only if $\varphi^{-1}(0, w)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(0, w')$ are contained in the same plaque of φ_G . In particular, we write \sim_φ if $G = W_\varphi$.

(2.3) Let x and y be points of the same leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ and let φ and ψ be distinguished charts of \mathcal{F} around x and y , respectively. As in [2], denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$ the set of all diffeomorphisms f of an open neighbourhood G of 0 in W_φ into W_ψ , such that $f(0) = 0$ and f, f^{-1} are compatible with the relations \sim_{φ_G} and $\sim_{\psi_{f(G)}}$.

Define in $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$ the relation \equiv in the following way: if $f_i: G_i \rightarrow H_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) are elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$ then $f_0 \equiv f_1$ if

and only if there exists a family $\{\hat{f}_t: t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle\}$ of mappings satisfying the conditions:

(i) there exists a neighbourhood \hat{G} of 0 in $G_0 \cap G_1$ such that, for each $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, \hat{f}_t is an immersion of \hat{G} into $H_0 \cap H_1$;

(ii) the mapping $\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \hat{G} \ni (t, w) \mapsto \hat{f}_t(w) \in H_0 \cap H_1$ is continuous;

(iii) $\hat{f}_0 = f_0|_{\hat{G}}$, $\hat{f}_1 = f_1|_{\hat{G}}$;

(iv) for each $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, the mapping \hat{f}_t is compatible with the relations $\sim_{\varphi_{\hat{G}}}$ and \sim_{ψ} ;

(v) for each $w \in \hat{G}$, the curve $\langle 0, 1 \rangle \ni t \mapsto \hat{f}_t(w) \in H_0 \cap H_1$ takes its values in an equivalence class of \sim_{ψ} .

It was shown in [2] that \equiv is an equivalence relation.

(2.4) In [2], the following fact was proved:

PROPOSITION. If $f_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$, $g_i \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi, \chi}$ ($i = 0, 1$) and $f_0 \equiv f_1$, $g_0 \equiv g_1$, then $g_0 \circ f_0 \equiv g_1 \circ f_1$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \chi}$.

(2.5) Let φ be a distinguished chart of \mathcal{F} around x and let $\gamma: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow L_x$ be a continuous curve. For $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, a pair (φ, t) is called a link on γ if $\gamma(t) \in P_{\varphi}$.

If (φ, t) , (ψ, v) are two links on γ , then they are said to overlap if

$$\gamma^{-1}(D_{\varphi})_t \cap \gamma^{-1}(D_{\psi})_v \neq \emptyset$$

where, for an open set $V \subset M$, $\gamma^{-1}(V)_t$ denotes the connected component of $\gamma^{-1}(V)$ containing t .

A finite sequence $\mathcal{C} = (\varphi_0, t_0; \varphi_1, t_1; \dots; \varphi_r, t_r; \psi, t_{r+1})$ ($t_0 = 0$, $t_{r+1} = 1$) of links on γ is said to be a chain of charts along γ if, for each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$, (φ_i, t_i) , (φ_{i+1}, t_{i+1}) are overlapping links ($\varphi_{r+1} = \psi$).

(2.6) Let (φ, t) , (ψ, v) be a pair of overlapping links on γ . Choose a point x belonging to that connected component of $P_{\varphi} \cap P_{\psi}$ which contains a connected set $\gamma(\gamma^{-1}(D_{\varphi})_t \cap \gamma^{-1}(D_{\psi})_v)$. Then there exists ([2]) an open neighbourhood G of 0 in W_{φ} such that the mapping

$$f_{\varphi, \psi; x}(w) = \text{pr}_2 \psi \varphi^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi(x), w)$$

is defined in G and is an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$.

Let $L \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $\gamma: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow L$ be a continuous curve. Take arbitrary distinguished charts φ and ψ around $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$, respectively. Let $\mathcal{C} = (\varphi, t_0; \varphi_1, t_1; \dots; \varphi_r, t_r; \psi, t_{r+1})$ ($t_0 = 0$, $t_{r+1} = 1$) be an arbitrary chain of charts along γ . Choose a point x_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, r$) belonging to the connected component of $P\varphi_i \cap P\varphi_{i+1}$ ($\varphi_{r+1} = \psi$) containing

$$\gamma(\gamma^{-1}(D_{\varphi_i})_{t_i} \cap \gamma^{-1}(D_{\varphi_{i+1}})_{t_{i+1}}).$$

Define a mapping

$$f_{\mathcal{C}} := f_{\varphi_r, \varphi_{r+1}; x_r} \circ \dots \circ f_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2; x_1} \circ f_{\varphi_0, \varphi_1; x_0}.$$

It was shown in [2] that $f_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$ and its equivalence class $[f_{\mathcal{C}}]$ relative to the relation \equiv depends only on the homotopy class of the curve γ . The equivalence class $[f_{\mathcal{C}}]$ denoted also by $[f_{\gamma, \varphi, \psi}]$ is called a $*$ -holonomy of L along γ .

(2.7) Let Λ be the family of all triplets (x, γ, y) where x, y are points of the same leaf L and $\gamma: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow L$ is a curve joining x to y . The elements (x, γ, y) and (x', γ', y') of Λ are identified (this relation is denoted by \sim) if and only if $x = x'$, $y = y'$ and $[f_{\gamma, \varphi, \psi}] = [f_{\gamma', \varphi, \psi}]$ for arbitrary distinguished charts φ and ψ around x and y , respectively. A class of (x, γ, y) of this equivalence relation is denoted by $[(x, \gamma, y)]$. Define the mappings $\alpha: \Lambda/\sim \ni [(x, \gamma, y)] \mapsto x \in M$, $\beta: \Lambda/\sim \ni [(x, \gamma, y)] \mapsto y \in M$. If $\beta([(x, \gamma, y)]) = \alpha([(x', \gamma', y')])$, then define the multiplication

$$[(x', \gamma', y')] \cdot [(x, \gamma, y)] = [(x, \gamma \cdot \gamma', y')].$$

The definition is correct by (2.4).

It is easy to see that the set Λ/\sim with α, β and the multiplication is a groupoid over M which is called a $*$ -holonomy groupoid of \mathcal{F} and denoted by $*$ -Hol(\mathcal{F}).

(2.8) Let $M_{\mathcal{F}}$ be a topological space on M whose base consists

of all plaques. Let $\pi_1(M_{\mathcal{F}})$ be the fundamental groupoid of this space. It is obvious that there exists a natural groupoid homomorphism

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}: \pi_1(M_{\mathcal{F}}) \ni [\gamma] \mapsto [(\gamma(0), \gamma, \gamma(1))] \in *-\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}).$$

3. THE INVERSE IMAGE OF A STEFAN FOLIATION

Let \mathcal{F}' be a Stefan foliation of an m' -dimensional manifold M' , let M be an m -dimensional manifold and $g: M \rightarrow M'$ a smooth mapping. We denote leaves of \mathcal{F}' by L' , $L'_{g(x)}$, L'_x , etc.

(3.1) We say that g is transverse to \mathcal{F}' if, for each $x \in M$, the equality

$$g_*T_x M + T_{g(x)}L'_{g(x)} = T_{g(x)}M'$$

holds. This is denoted by $g \pitchfork \mathcal{F}'$.

(3.2) It is well known that connected components of $g^{-1}(L')$ for $L' \in \mathcal{F}'$ give a decomposition of M into connected immersed submanifolds. Moreover, the codimension of $g^{-1}(L')$ equals the codimension of L' . Denote this decomposition by $g^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$ or simply by \mathcal{F} .

PROPOSITION. \mathcal{F} is a Stefan foliation.

(3.3) V e r E e c k e proved this proposition in [4] but we prove it in a quite different way here.

P r o o f. The only fact which has to be proved is the existence of distinguished charts of \mathcal{F} .

Let $x \in M$ be an arbitrary point. Let $\varphi': D_{\varphi'} \rightarrow U_{\varphi'} \times W_{\varphi'}$ be a distinguished chart of \mathcal{F} around $x = g(x)$. Denote by L the element of \mathcal{F} containing x ($\dim L = k$) and by L' the leaf of \mathcal{F}' for which $g(L) \subset L'$ ($\dim L' = k'$). Take a connected and relatively compact neighbourhood \tilde{P} of x in $g^{-1}(P_{\varphi'})$ such that there exists a chart ψ of the submanifold $g^{-1}(L')$, defined in \tilde{P} and satisfying $\psi(x) = 0$. It is easy to see that the mapping $h = \text{pr}_2 \circ \varphi' \circ g$ is a submersion in x , thus in an open neighbourhood \tilde{W} of x contained in $g^{-1}(D_{\varphi'})$. Let \mathcal{F}_1 be a regular foliation of \tilde{W} induced by h . Define P to be the connected component of

$\tilde{W} \cap \tilde{P}$ containing x . Let $\xi = (W, p)$ be a tubular neighbourhood of P such that $W \subset \tilde{W}$, and $p^{-1}(\tilde{x})$ is connected and transverse to leaves of \mathcal{F}_1 for each $\tilde{x} \in P$. The mapping

$$\tilde{\varphi} : W \ni y \mapsto (\psi p(y), h(y)) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k'} = \mathbb{R}^{k'} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$$

is a diffeomorphism on some neighbourhood D_φ of x . One can suppose that $\tilde{\varphi}(D_\varphi)$ is of the form $U_\varphi \times W_\varphi$ where U_φ, W_φ are connected neighbourhoods of 0 in \mathbb{R}^k and \mathbb{R}^{m-k} , respectively. Set $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi}|_{D_\varphi}$. Note that

$$(*) \text{pr}_2 \varphi = \text{pr}_2 \varphi' g$$

by the definition of φ .

We show that φ is a distinguished chart of \mathcal{F} around x . Conditions (i) and (ii) of definition (2.1) are obviously satisfied. Let $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{F}$. We prove that

$$\varphi(\tilde{L} \cap D_\varphi) = U_\varphi \times \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \{w \in W_\varphi; \varphi^{-1}(0, w) \in \tilde{L}\}$. Let $(u, w) \in \varphi(\tilde{L} \cap D_\varphi)$. Then there exists $y \in \tilde{L} \cap D_\varphi$ such that $\varphi(y) = (u, w)$. Denote by $\tilde{L}' \in \mathcal{F}'$ the leaf for which $g(\tilde{L}) \subset \tilde{L}'$. Since $\text{pr}_2 \varphi' g \varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{w\}) = \{w\}$ by (*) and $\text{pr}_2 \varphi' g(y) = w$, we have $g\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{w\}) \subset \varphi'^{-1}(U_{\varphi'} \times \{w\}) \subset \tilde{L}'$. Thus $\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{w\}) \subset g^{-1}g\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{w\}) \subset g^{-1}(\tilde{L}')$. The set $\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{w\})$ is contained in \tilde{L} since it is connected and contains y . In particular, $\varphi^{-1}(0, w) \in \tilde{L}$, so $w \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. We have $(u, w) \in U_\varphi \times \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$.

Conversely, let $(u, w) \in U_\varphi \times \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. It is obvious that $\varphi^{-1}(u, w) \in D_\varphi$. We show that $\varphi^{-1}(u, w) \in \tilde{L}$. Since $w \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, therefore $\varphi^{-1}(0, w) \in \tilde{L}$. Analogously as above we prove that $g\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{w\}) \subset \tilde{L}'$. Then the connected set $\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \{w\})$ containing $\varphi^{-1}(u, w)$ has to be contained in \tilde{L} . In particular, $\varphi^{-1}(u, w) \in \tilde{L}$, so $U_\varphi \times \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \subset \varphi(\tilde{L} \cap D_\varphi)$. \square

(3.4) Let $\varphi : D_\varphi \rightarrow U_\varphi \times W_\varphi$ be a distinguished chart of \mathcal{F} constructed as above by using the distinguished chart $\varphi' : D_{\varphi'} \rightarrow U_{\varphi'} \times W_{\varphi'}$ of \mathcal{F}' . We have

PROPOSITION. $W_\varphi \subset W_{\varphi'}$. Equivalence classes of \sim_φ are equal to connected components of intersections of W_φ with equivalence classes of $\sim_{\varphi'}$ in $W_{\varphi'}$.

(3.5) P r o o f. The first part of the proposition follows directly from the definition of φ . We show that the second part holds. Let $\tilde{\ell}^{(0)}$ be an equivalence class of \sim_φ . Then $\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \tilde{\ell}^{(0)}) \subset \tilde{L}$, so $g\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \tilde{\ell}^{(0)}) \subset L' \cap D_\varphi$. Since the set $g\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \tilde{\ell}^{(0)})$ is connected, it is contained in a plaque of φ . Consequently, $\tilde{\ell}^{(0)} = \text{pr}_2 \varphi' g\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \tilde{\ell}^{(0)}) \subset \tilde{\ell}'^{(0)}$ by (*), where $\tilde{\ell}'^{(0)}$ is a connected component of $\tilde{L}' = \{w \in W_{\varphi'}; \varphi'^{-1}(0, w) \in \tilde{L}'\}$. Thus $\tilde{\ell}^{(0)}$, being connected, is contained in a connected component of $W_\varphi \cap \tilde{\ell}'^{(0)}$.

Conversely, let ℓ' be a connected component of the set $W_\varphi \cap \ell'^{(0)}$ where $\ell'^{(0)}$ is an equivalence class of $\sim_{\varphi'}$. Consider the set $A := \varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \ell')$. It is connected. Therefore $g(A)$ is connected and $\text{pr}_2 \varphi' g(A) = \text{pr}_2 \varphi' g\varphi^{-1}(U_\varphi \times \ell') = \ell' \subset \tilde{\ell}'^{(0)} \subset \tilde{L}'$ by (*). Thus $g(A) \subset \tilde{L}'$, so $A \subset g^{-1}(\tilde{L}')$. Consequently, A is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} since A is connected. Obviously, $A \subset D_\varphi$, so it is contained in a plaque of φ . Then we have $\ell' \subset \tilde{\ell}^{(0)}$. \square

4. THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $g: M \rightarrow M'$ be a smooth mapping transverse to a Stefan foliation \mathcal{F}' of M' . Then it is well known that there exists a natural groupoid homomorphism

$$G: \pi_1(M_{\mathcal{F}}) \ni [\gamma] \mapsto [g \circ \gamma] \in \pi_1(M'_{\mathcal{F}'})$$

where $\mathcal{F} = g^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$.

(4.1) THEOREM. There exists a natural groupoid homomorphism $\tilde{G}: *-\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow *-\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}')$ such that the diagram

$$(**) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(M_{\mathcal{F}}) & \xrightarrow{G} & \pi_1(M'_{\mathcal{F}'}) \\ \downarrow H_{\mathcal{F}} & & \downarrow H_{\mathcal{F}'} \\ *-\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{G}} & *-\text{Hol}(\mathcal{F}') \end{array}$$

commutes.

(4.2) P r o o f. It is easily seen that if we want diagram (***) to commute, the mapping \tilde{G} has to be defined by the formula:

$$\tilde{G}([(x, \gamma, y)]) = [(g(x), g \circ \gamma, g(y))].$$

We show that this definition is correct. Let $(x, \gamma_0, Y), (x, \gamma_1, Y)$ be triplets from Λ such that $f_{\gamma_0; \varphi, \psi} \equiv f_{\gamma_1; \varphi, \psi}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$, where φ, ψ are arbitrarily chosen distinguished charts around x and y , respectively. Note that, for an arbitrary curve γ in L_x , it is possible to choose a chain $\mathcal{C}' = (\varphi', 0; \varphi'_1, t_1; \dots; \varphi'_r, t_r; \psi', 1)$ along $g \circ \gamma$ such that the charts $\varphi, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r, \psi$ (defined as in (3.3) from the charts of \mathcal{C}') form a chain $\mathcal{C} = (\varphi, 0; \varphi_1, t_1; \dots; \varphi_r, t_r; \psi, 1)$ along γ . This can be obtained in the following way: for each $s \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, there exists a distinguished chart φ'_s of \mathcal{F}' around $g\gamma(s)$. For every chart φ'_s , define the distinguished chart φ_s of \mathcal{F} around $\gamma(s)$ as in (3.3). There exists a finite subfamily $\{\varphi, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r, \psi\}$ of $\{\varphi_s; s \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle\}$ with $\varphi = \varphi_{(0)}, \varphi_i = \varphi_{(s_i)}, \psi = \varphi_{(1)}$, such that $\mathcal{C} = (\varphi, 0; \varphi_1, t_1; \dots; \varphi_r, t_r; \psi, 1)$ is a chain along γ , where t_1, \dots, t_r are suitably chosen parameters from $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$. It is obvious that $(\varphi'_{(0)}, 0; \varphi'_{(s_1)}, t_1; \dots; \varphi'_{(s_r)}, t_r; \varphi'_{(1)}, 1) = (\varphi'_0, 0; \varphi'_1, t_1; \dots; \varphi'_r, t_r; \psi', 1)$ is a chain along $g \circ \gamma$.

It is clear that the ranges of charts of this chain can be assumed to be convex.

Let $\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}'_i$ ($i = 0, 1$) be the chains along γ_i and $g \circ \gamma_i$, respectively, constructed as above. By the assumption, $f_{\mathcal{C}_0} \equiv f_{\mathcal{C}'_0}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$. We have to prove that $f_{\mathcal{C}'_0} \equiv f_{\mathcal{C}'_1}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$. Note that, by proposition (3.4), the diffeomorphism $f_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ can be considered as an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$. By the transitivity of \equiv , it suffices to show that $f_{\mathcal{C}_i} \equiv f_{\mathcal{C}'_i}$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}$. In view of proposition (2.4), it will be sufficient to prove this last equivalence in the case when the chains \mathcal{C}_i and \mathcal{C}'_i consist of two links. In other words we have to show that

$$(***) f_{\varphi, \psi; x} \equiv f_{\varphi', \psi'; g(x)} \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_{\varphi, \psi}.$$

Denote $f_{\varphi, \psi; x}$ by f_0 and $f_{\varphi', \psi'; g(x)}$ by f_1 and recall that

$$f_0(w) = \text{pr}_2 \psi \varphi^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi(x), w)$$

for w in some open neighbourhood of 0 in W_φ , and

$$f_1(w) = \text{pr}_2 \psi' \varphi'^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi'(g(x)), w)$$

for w in some open neighbourhood of 0 in $W_{\varphi'}$.

Define

$$\hat{f}_t(w) = \text{pr}_2 \psi' \varphi'^{-1}((1-t)\varphi'g\varphi^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi(x), w) + t(\text{pr}_1 \varphi'(g(x)), w)).$$

We show that there exists an open neighbourhood of 0 in W_φ on which all mappings \hat{f}_t are defined. Note that the mapping

$$\alpha: \langle 0, 1 \rangle \times W_\varphi \ni (t, w) \mapsto (1-t)\varphi'g\varphi^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi(x), w) + t(\text{pr}_1 \varphi'(g(x)), w) \in U_{\varphi'} \times W_{\varphi'}$$

is continuous. For each $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, we have $\alpha(t, 0) = \varphi'(g(x)) \in \varphi'(D_\psi)$ since $g(x) \in D_\psi$. The set $\varphi'(D_\psi)$ is an open subset of $U_{\varphi'} \times W_{\varphi'}$. By the continuity of α , for each $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, there exist a neighbourhood V_t of t in $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and a neighbourhood G_t of 0 in W_φ , such that $\alpha(V_t \times G_t) \subset \varphi'(D_\psi)$. Let $\{V_{t_1}, \dots, V_{t_s}\}$ form a covering of $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Set $G = \bigcap_{j=1}^s G_{t_j}$. Then $\alpha(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times G) \subset \varphi'(D_\psi)$, which means that all mappings \hat{f}_t are defined in G .

We now prove that \hat{f}_t is the homotopy realizing equivalence (***) . Every \hat{f}_t is an immersion at 0. Indeed, let $v \in T_0 W_\varphi$ and assume that $\hat{f}_{t*} v = 0$. Then $\alpha(t, \cdot)_* v \in T_{\varphi'(g(x))}(U_{\varphi'} \times \{0\})$. Therefore $\text{pr}_{2*} \alpha(t, \cdot)_* v = 0$ but, on the other hand, $\text{pr}_2 \alpha(t, \cdot) = \text{id}_{W_\varphi}$ by (*).

Consequently, $\text{pr}_{2*} \alpha(t, \cdot)_* v = v$. Hence $v = 0$. Now, similarly as above, using the continuity of the differential of α , we can assert that there exists a neighbourhood \hat{G} of 0 in G such that, for each $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, the mapping \hat{f}_t is an immersion in \hat{G} . Consequently, condition (i) of definition (2.3) holds.

Condition (ii) of (2,3) is quite obvious.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_0(w) &= \text{pr}_2 \psi' \varphi'^{-1}(\varphi' g \varphi^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi(x), w)) \\ &= \text{pr}_2 \psi \varphi^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi(x), w) = f_0(w)\end{aligned}$$

by (*), and

$$\hat{f}_1(w) = \text{pr}_2 \psi' \varphi'^{-1}(\text{pr}_1 \varphi'(x), w) = f_1(w).$$

Thus condition (iii) of (2.3) holds.

We now prove that, for each $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, the mapping \hat{f}_t is compatible with $\sim_{\varphi_{\hat{G}}}$ and \sim_{ψ} . Indeed, let l_0 be an equivalence class of $\sim_{\varphi_{\hat{G}}}$. Note that $\alpha(\{t\} \times l_0) \subset U_{\varphi'} \times l_0$ because $\text{pr}_2 \alpha(t, w) = w$ for each $w \in \hat{G}$. Therefore $\varphi'^{-1} \alpha(\{t\} \times l_0)$ is contained in some leaf $L' \in \mathcal{F}'$ by (3.4). Hence $\hat{f}_t(l_0) \subset l'$ where $l' = \{w' \in W_{\psi}, \psi'^{-1}(0, w') \in L'\}$. Since $\hat{f}_t(l_0)$ is connected, it is contained in a connected component of l' , thus in an equivalence class of \sim_{ψ} . So, condition (iv) of (2.3) holds.

To prove condition (v) of (2.3), note that $\varphi'^{-1} \alpha(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \times \{w\})$ is contained in some leaf of \mathcal{F}' because of the equality $\text{pr}_2 \alpha(t, w) = w$. Consequently, the image of the curve $\langle 0, 1 \rangle \ni t \mapsto \hat{f}_t(w) \in W_{\psi'}$ is contained in some set l' and, since it is connected, in an equivalence class of $\sim_{\psi'}$.

This completes the proof of the correctness of the definition of \tilde{G} .

It is easy to check that \tilde{G} is a groupoid homomorphism. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ehresmann C., *Structures feuilletées*, Proc. of the 5th Canad. Math. Cong., 1961 (Charles Ehresmann, Oeuvres complètes et commentées, Part II, 2, 563-626).
- [2] Piątkowski A., *A stability theorem for foliations with singularities*, Dissertat. Math., 267 (1988), 1-49.
- [3] Stefan P., *Accesible sets, orbits and foliations with singularities*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 29 (1974), 699-713.
- [4] Ver Eecke P., *Le groupoïde fondamental d'un feuilletage de Stefan*. Publicaciones del Seminario Matemático García de Galdeano, Ser. II, 3(6), (1986).

Andrzej Piątkowski

O *-HOLONOMII PRZECIWOBRAZU FOLIACJI STEFANA

Niech \mathcal{F}' będzie foliacją Stefana ([3]) na rozmaiłości M' i niech $f: M \rightarrow M'$ będzie gładkim odwzorowaniem transwersalnym do \mathcal{F}' ([4]). W pracy tej pokazujemy, że istnieje naturalny homomorfizm grupoidu *-holonomii ([2]) foliacji $f^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')$ w grupoid *-holonomii foliacji \mathcal{F}' .