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## Krystyna Zyskowska

ON GENERAL ESTIMATIONS OF COEFFICIENTS OF BOUNDED SYMMETRIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS

Let $S_{R}(M), M>1$, be the class of functions

$$
F(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n F} z^{n}
$$

holomorphic, univalent and bounded by a constant $M$ in the unit disc E. If $K$ is an odd positive integer, wheraes $N$-even, and $\lambda, \mu$ are real numbers such that $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and $\mu>0$, then there exists a constant $M_{0}{ }_{0} M_{0}>1$, such that, for all $M>M_{0}$ in the class $S_{R}(M)$, the inequality

$$
A_{K F}+A_{N F} \leqslant P_{K, M}+P_{N, M}
$$

takes place, where

$$
w=P_{M}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_{n, M} z^{n}, z \in E,
$$

is a Pick function given by the equation'

$$
\frac{w}{\left(1-\frac{w}{M}\right)^{2}}=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in E
$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S$ be the class of functions
(1)

$$
P(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n F} z^{n}
$$

holomorphic and univalent in the disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$, and $S(M), M>1$, the subclass of the above class, consisting of functions bounded by $M$, that is, of those which satisfy the condition

$$
|F(z)| \leqslant M, \quad z \in E .
$$

Charzyrisi and Tammi set the following hypothesis for the classes $S(M)$ : for every $N=2,3,4, \ldots$ there exists a constant $M_{N}>1$ such that, for all $M<M_{N}$ and every function $F$ e $\in S(M)$, the sharp estimation

$$
\left|A_{N F}\right| \leqslant p_{N, M}^{(N-1)}
$$

takes place, where

$$
P_{N, M}^{(N-1)}=\frac{2}{N-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{M^{N-1}}\right)
$$

is the $N$-th coefficient of Taylor expansion (1) of the Pick function $w=p_{M}^{(N-1)}(z) \quad$ (symmetric, of order $\left.N-1\right)$ given by the equation

$$
\frac{w}{\left[1-\left(\frac{w}{N}\right)^{N-1}\right] \frac{2}{N-1}}=\frac{z}{\left[1-2^{N-1}\right] \frac{2}{N-1}}, \quad z \in E
$$

and satisfying the condition $p_{M}^{(N-1)}(0)=0$.
This hypothesis was positively determined by $S 1$ e wier$s k i([13],[14],[15])$ and, in some other way, by $s$ c $h$ iffer and m a mmi [12].

Jakubowski raised for the classes $S(M)$, a hypothesis antipo-
dal to the above-mentioned one: for every even $N=2,4,6, \ldots$, there exists a constant $M_{N}>1$ such that, for all $M>M_{N}$ and every function $F \in S(M)$, the sharp estimation

$$
\left|A_{N F}\right| \leqslant P_{N, M}
$$

## takes place, where

(2)

$$
{ }^{P_{N, M}}=
$$

$=N+\sum_{m=2}^{N}\left[(-1)^{m+1} \frac{2^{\frac{m}{m}}}{M^{m-1}} \cdot \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot(2 m-1)}{(m+1)!}\right.$

$$
\left.\sum_{\substack{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right) \\ s_{1}+\ldots+s_{m}=N \\ 1 \leqslant s_{j} \leqslant N, j=1, \ldots, m}} s_{1} s_{2} \ldots s_{m}\right]
$$

(cf. [4]) is the $N-t h$ coefficient of Taylor expansion (1) of the
Pick function $W=P_{M}(z)$ (symmetric, of order 1 ) given by the
equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w}{\left(1-\frac{w}{M}\right)^{2}}=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in E \text {, } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfying the condition ${ }^{P}{ }_{M}(0)=0$.
The premises for raising this hypothesis were the estimations in the classes $S(M)$, known earlier ([7], [10]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{2 F}\right| \leqslant P_{2, M} \text { if } M>1 \\
& \left|A_{4 F}\right| \leqslant P_{4, M} \text { if } M>700
\end{aligned}
$$

on whose grounds, as can be seen, one may adopt, for instance, $M_{2}=1$ and $M_{4}=700$.

However, for any even $N$. the hypothesis has not been determined till now.

Note that, for any odd $N$, the above hypothesis is not valid since, as early as $N=3$, in the class $S(M)$ the sharp estimation
(4)

$$
\left|A_{3 F}\right| \leqslant 1+2 \lambda^{2}-4 \lambda M^{-1}+M^{-2} \text { for } e \leqslant M<+\infty
$$

holds, where $\lambda$ is the greater root of equation $\lambda \log \lambda=-M^{-1}$, the third coefficient of the Pick function $w=P_{M}(z)$ is, as can easily be verified, less than the right-hand side of (4).

Denote by $S_{R}$ and $S_{R}(M), M>1$, the subclasses of, respectively, $S$ and $S(M)$ of functions with real coefficients.

Jakubowski raised for the classes $S_{R}(M)$ a hypothesis analogous to the previous one: for every even $N=2,4,6, \ldots$, there exists a constant $M_{N}>1$ such that, for all $M>M_{N}$ and every function $F \in S_{R}(M)$, the sharp estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{N F} \leqslant P_{N, M} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

takes place, where $P_{N, M}$ is, as previously, the $N$-th coefficient of Taylor expansion (1) of the Pick function $w=P_{M}(z)$ given by equation (3) and satisfying the condition. $P_{M}(0)=0$.

An additional premise for the supposition and possibilities of a positive solution to the problem was the following result of $D$ i e u donné ([1]): for every function $F \in S_{R^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n F} \leqslant P_{n, \infty}, \quad n=2,3,4, \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{P_{n, \infty}}=n$ is the $n$-th coefficient in Taylor expansion (1) of the Koebe function

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(z)=P_{\infty}(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in E_{1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

being the limit case of the Pick function $w=P_{M}(z)$ as one passes in equation (3) with $M$ to infinity. Moreover, Koebe function (7) is the only function for which equality in estimation (6) holds when $n$ is even.

The use of the above fact, the differential-functional equation of extremal functions and the theory of $\Gamma$-structures allowed to determine $\quad \mathrm{akubows} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ hypothesis positively in the class $S_{R}(M)$ ([4], [5], [16], [17]).

The present paper constitutes a generalization of the above result. Namely, in the class $S_{R}(M), M>1$, instead of single coefficients we consider some of their linear combinations of type $\quad \lambda A_{K Y}+\psi^{2} A_{N F}$. where $K$ and $N$ are any positive integers,
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$\lambda, \mu$ - any non-negative real numbers. In virtue of estimation (5). It is evident that, if we assume $K$ and $N$ to be even, then, for $M$ sufficiently large, the maximum of $\lambda A_{K F}+\mu A_{N F}$ is realized by the Pick function $w=P_{M}(z)$ only. Consequently, non-trivial is the case when $K, N$ are any positive integers, $N$ - even. K - odd. Besides, it can be seen from estimation (4) that the coefficients $\lambda, \mu$ cannot be arbitrary; in this context, we shall further assume that $\lambda \geqslant 0$ and $\mu>0$.

The method used in the paper allows one to avoid complicated integration of the differential-functional equation of extremal functions (e.g., [3], [6], [11]); instead, one makes use of the theory of $\Gamma$-structures and the above-mentioned result of Dieudonné, including the onliness of the Koebe function in estimation (6) for $n$ even.


## 2. THE FUNCTIONAL AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

## Consider a real functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(F)=\lambda A_{K F}+\mu A_{N F^{\prime}} \quad F \in S_{R}(M), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N}$ are any positive integers, K - odd, $N$-even; $\lambda, \mu$ any real numbers, $\lambda \geqslant 0, \mu>0$.

It follows from the Weierstrass theorem that functional (8) is continuous, whereas the family $S_{R}(M)$ is compact in the topology of almost uniform convergence. Consequently, for every $M>1$, In the family $S_{R}(M)$ there is at least one function realizing the maximum of functional (8). In the sequel, each function $F_{0}$ for which

$$
\max _{E \in S_{R}(M)} J(F)=J\left(F_{0}\right)
$$

will be shortly called extremal function.
We shall now give some information on the Pick functions $w=P_{M}(z)$ given by equation (3) and satisfying the condition $P_{M}(0)=0$.

First of all, note that each of the functions $P_{M}(z) ; M>1$,
belongs to the class $S_{R}(M)$ since it can be represented in the form

$$
P_{M}(z)=M x^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{M} \cdot x(z)\right), \quad z \in E
$$

where $K$ is Koebe function (7). From this relation it also follows that every function $w=P_{M}(z)=\frac{1}{M} P_{M}(z), M>1, \quad$ maps the disc $|z|<1$ onto the disc $|w|<1$ cut along the radius from -1 to $r_{M}=-2 M+1+2 \sqrt{M(M-1)}$.

Next, note that, in accordance with (2), the convergences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{M \rightarrow+\infty} F_{n, M}=n, \quad n=2,3, \ldots . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
For the extremal functions, the following property takes place:

Let $\left(M_{h}\right)_{h}=1,2, \ldots$ be any sequence of real numbers, $M_{h}>$ $>1, h=1,2, \ldots$, such that $\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} M_{h}=+\infty$, and let

$$
\left(F_{h}(z)\right)_{h}=1,2, \ldots, \quad z \in E \text {, }
$$

be any sequence of extremal functions realizing the maximum of functional (8) in the respective classes $S_{R}\left(M_{u}\right), h=1,2, \ldots$ Then the sequence $\left(F_{h}(z)\right)_{h}=1,2, \ldots$ is almost uniformly convergent in the disc $E$ to the Koebe function $\boldsymbol{X}(z)$.

Indeed, denote

$$
F_{h}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n h} z^{n}, \quad h=1,2, \ldots, \quad z \in E .
$$

Since, for every $h, h=1,2, \ldots, P_{M_{h}}(z) \in S_{R}\left(M_{h}\right)$ and $F_{h} \in S_{R^{\prime}}$ therefore

$$
\lambda \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mu \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}} \leqslant \lambda \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{Kh}}+\mu \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Nh}} \leqslant \lambda \mathrm{~K}+\mu \mathrm{N} .
$$

Consequently, in view of (9), we get
(10)

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\lambda A_{\mathrm{Kh}}+\mu A_{\mathrm{Nh}}\right]=\lambda K+\mu N .
$$

Since the sequence $\left(F_{h}{ }_{h}=1,2, \ldots\right.$ is a normal and almost comonIy bounded sequence in the disc $E$, ilt suffices to prove that any subsequence of $\left(F_{h}\right)_{h=1,2}, \ldots$, almost uniformly convergent In $E$, converges to the function $\mathcal{K}$.

So, take any such subsequence $\left(F_{j}\right)_{j=1,2, \ldots \text {, almost ;uni- }}$ formly convergent in the disc $E$ to some function $\tilde{F}$. . It follows from the compactness of the class $S_{R}$ that $\tilde{F} \in S_{R^{\prime}}$ From condition (10) and the Weierstrass theorem we conclude that

$$
\lambda A_{K \tilde{F}}+\mu A_{N \tilde{F}}=\lambda K+\mu N
$$

which, in view of Dieudonné estimation (6), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{N \tilde{F}}=N_{\text {, }} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, since Koebe function (7) is the only one in the family $S_{R}$ for which (11) holds, there must be that $\tilde{F}=x$.

Note that from the above property of extremal functions follows immediately the almost uniform convergence in $E$ of the sequence $\left(F_{h}^{m}(z)\right)_{h=1}, 2, \ldots, m=2,3, \ldots$ of powers of extremal functions in the families $S_{R}\left(M_{h}\right), h=1,2, \ldots$, to the function $x^{m}(z)$, where $x$ is a koebe function. In consequence, we shall obtain another property of extremal functions.

Let $m$ be any positive integer, $n$ - any index, $n=m, m+$ +1 , ... For every number $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $M_{\varepsilon}>1$ such that, for all $M>M_{\varepsilon}$ and every function $F$ extremal in the class $S_{R}(M)$, where $M>M_{E^{\prime}}$ the condition

$$
\left|A_{n F}^{(m)}-A_{n \nless}^{(m)}\right|<\varepsilon
$$

is satisfied, with that the coefficients $A_{n F}^{(m)}, m=2,3, \ldots, n=$ $=m, m+1, \ldots$, are given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{m}(z)=\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} A_{n F}^{(m)} z^{z}, \quad z \in E, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A_{n F}^{(1)}=A_{n F}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots, A_{1 F}^{(1)}=1$.
Really, otherwise, for any fixed $m$ and $n m m, m+1, \ldots$, there exists a real number $\varepsilon_{0}$ such that, for every ${ }^{M_{1}} \varepsilon_{0}$ one can find a constant $M, M>M_{\varepsilon_{0}}$, and a function $F$ extremal in the class $S_{R}(M), M>M_{\varepsilon_{0}}$, so that $\left|A_{n F}^{(m)}-A_{n O}^{(m)}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon_{0} \quad$ Then there exist an increasing sequence $\left(M_{h}\right)_{h=1,2, \ldots}$ of real numbers $\left(\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} M_{h}=+\infty\right)$ and its corresponding sequence $\left(E_{h}^{m} h^{m}=1,2, \ldots\right.$ of powers of extremal functions in the classes $S_{R}\left(M_{h}\right), h=1,2, \ldots$ such that $\left|A_{n F_{h}}^{(m)}-A_{n K}^{(m)}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}$, which contradicts the almost uniform convergence on the sequence $\left(F_{h}^{m}\right)_{h=1,2}, \ldots$ to the function $x^{m}$ in the disc $E$.

## 3. PROOF OF THE FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM

## We shall prove the following

Theorem. Let $K, N$ be any fixed positive integers, $K-o d d$, $N$ - even; $\lambda, \mu$ - any real numbers, $\lambda \geqslant 0, \mathbf{u}>0$. Then there exists a constant $M_{0}$. $M_{0}>1$, such that, for all $M>M_{0}$ and every function $F \in S_{R}(M)$, the estimation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda A_{K F}+\mu A_{N F} \leqslant \lambda P_{K, M}+\mu P_{N, M} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true, where

$$
W=P_{M}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_{n, M} z^{n}
$$

is a Pick function given by the equation

$$
\frac{W}{\left(1-\cdot \frac{W}{M}\right)^{2}}=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in E
$$

and satisfying the condition $P_{M}(0)=0$. This funtion is the
only one for which, with a given $M, M>M_{0}$, equality holds in estimation (13).
sh. The proof of the theorem will consist of two parts.

### 3.1. The differential-functional equation <br> for extremal functions

Without loss of generality, assume that $N<K$.
It is well known [2] that every function $w=f(z)=\frac{1}{M} F(z)$, where $F$ is an extremal function in the family $S_{R}(M), M>1$, satisfies the following differential-funtional equation:
(14)

$$
\left(\frac{z w^{\prime}}{w}\right)^{2} d(w)=w^{\prime}(z), \quad 0<|z|<1
$$

where
(15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(w)=\lambda \sum_{m=2}^{K} \frac{A_{K F}^{(m)}}{M^{m-1}}\left(w^{m-1}+\frac{1}{w^{m-1}}\right)+ \\
& +\mu \sum_{m=2}^{N} \frac{A_{N F}^{(m)}}{M^{m-1}}\left(w^{m-1}+\frac{1}{w^{m-1}}\right)-\rho
\end{aligned}
$$

(16)

$$
火(z)=\lambda(K-1) A_{K F}+\mu(N-1) A_{N F}+
$$

$+\lambda \sum_{m=2}^{K}(K-m+1) A_{K-m+1, F}\left(z^{m-1}+\frac{1}{z^{m-1}}\right)+$

$$
+\mu \sum_{m=2}^{N}(N-m+1) A N-m+1, F\left(z^{m-1}+\frac{1}{z^{m-1}}\right)-\rho
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\min _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant 2 \pi}^{\operatorname{Re}}\left[\lambda \sum_{m=2}^{K} \frac{A_{K F}^{(m)}}{M^{m-1}} e^{i x(m-1)}+\mu \sum_{m=2}^{N} \frac{A_{N F}^{(m)}}{M^{m-1}} e^{i x(m-1)}\right], \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

the numbers $A_{n F}^{(m)} n=1,2, \ldots, n=m, m+1, \ldots$, are given
by formula (12). The functions $\mathcal{L}(w)$ and $\mathcal{J}(z)$ assume, respectively, on the circles $|w|=1$ and $|z|=1$ real non-negative values. Either of these functions has on the respective circle. at least one zero of even multiplicity. Let us still observe that, if $\mu\left(w_{0}\right)=0$, then $\mu\left(\bar{w}_{0}\right)=0, \quad \mu\left(\frac{1}{w_{0}}\right)=0$ and $\mu\left(\frac{1}{w_{0}}=0\right.$, and if $\operatorname{Pr}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, then also $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\bar{z}_{0}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{or}\left(\frac{1}{z_{0}}\right)=0 \quad$ and $\operatorname{er}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}_{0}}\right)=0$.

From the previous remarks it follows that, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $M^{\prime}>1$ such that, for all $M>M^{\prime}$ and every $z \in \Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{K-1}\left(J P(z)-\int_{0}(z)\right)\right|<\varepsilon, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is any compact set of the open plane, $\mathcal{C}(z)$ is given by formula (16), while $\gamma_{0}(z)$ is defined as follows:
(19) $\quad \kappa_{0}(z)=\lambda(K-1) K+\mu(N-1) N+\lambda \sum_{m=2}^{K}(K-m+1)^{2}\left(z^{m-1}+\frac{1}{z^{m-1}}\right)+$

$$
+\mu \sum_{m=2}^{N}(N-m+1)^{2}\left(z^{m-1}+\frac{1}{z^{m-1}}\right)
$$

We shall determine the zeros of the function $N_{0}(z)$ on the circle $|z|=1$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m=2}^{N}(N-m+1)^{2} z^{-m+1}=\frac{1}{n^{N}} \sum_{m=2}^{N}(N-m+1)^{2} z^{N-m+1}=\frac{1}{z^{N}} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n^{2} z^{n}= \\
& \left.\quad=\frac{1}{z^{N}}\left[\left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} z^{n}\right)^{\prime} z\right)^{\prime} z\right]=\frac{1}{z^{N}}\left[\left(\frac{z^{N}-z}{z-1}\right)^{\prime} z\right)^{\prime} z\right]= \\
& =\frac{1}{(z-1)^{3}}\left[(N-1)^{2} z^{2}-\left(2 N^{2}-2 N-1\right) z+N^{2}-z^{-N+2}-z^{-N+1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, proceeding analogously with the remaining addends of $\sim_{0}(z)$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{0}(z)= & \frac{1}{(z-1)^{3}}\left\{\lambda\left[-K(z+1)^{2}(z-1)+z(z+1)\left(z^{K}-\frac{1}{z^{K}}\right)\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+\mu\left[-N(z+1)^{2}(z-1)+z(z+1)\left(z^{N}-\frac{1}{z^{N}}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, after some transformations, we have:
(20)

$$
d r_{0}(z)=\frac{(z+1)^{2}}{(z-1)^{2}} L_{0}(z)
$$

where
(21)

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{0}(z) & =\lambda\left[\sum_{m=1}^{\frac{K-1}{2}}\left(z^{2 m}+\frac{1}{z^{2 m}}\right)-(K-1)\right]+ \\
& +\mu\left[\sum_{m=1}^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(z^{2 m-1}+\frac{1}{z^{2 m-1}}\right)-N\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

From (21) $1 t$ can be seen at once that the only zero of the function $L_{0}(z)$ on the circle $|z|=1$ is the point $z=1$ which, In view of (19), is not a zero of $N_{0}(z)$.

So, finally, it follows from (20) that the function $o_{0}(z)$ has on the circle $|z|=1$ one double zero $z=-1$ and $K-2$ zeros inside as well as outside this circle.

Let us surround all zeros of the function $\mathcal{N}_{0}(z)$ with sufficiently small disjoint discs. From the Hurwitz theorem and condition (18) we infer that there exists some $M_{0}>M^{\prime}$ such that, for all $M>M_{0}$, zeros of the function $\mathcal{N}(z)$ given by formula (16) lie, respectively, in chosen neighbourhoods of zeros of the function $\wp_{0}(z)$, with that in each of these neighbourhoods the number of zeros of both those functions, considering multiplicities, is the same.

It is well known [2] that the function $\mathscr{N}(z)$ has on the oirele $|z|=1$ at least one zero of even multiplicity. Let $\tilde{z} \neq$ $* 1,|z|=1$, be one of these zeros. Then, for $M>M_{0}$, it lies In the vicinity of the double zero $z=-1$ of the function or $(z)$. Since or $(z)$ is a non-negative function of the circle
$|z|=1$, the multiplicity of such a zero is at least $2 \%$ moreover, in the same neighbourhood there must lie a zero 2 of multiplicity at least 2 , which contradicts the fact that the function $\mathscr{P}(z)$ must have exactly two zezos there, considering multiplicities. Consequently, $z=-1$ is the only zero of the function $o^{\prime}(z)$ on the circle $|z|=1$.

So, it results from the form of $P^{P}(z)$ that, for $M>M_{0}$. this function can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(z)=\frac{(z+1)^{2}}{z^{K-1}} L(z) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(z)$ is some polynomial of degree $2 K-4$, and $L(z) \neq$ for $|z|=1$.

From the properties of the function $J^{\prime}(z)$. given before, we know that, if $L\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, then also $L\left(\bar{z}_{0}\right)=0, L\left(\frac{1}{z_{0}}\right)=0$ and $L\left(\frac{1}{2_{0}}\right)=0$.

We infer from equation (14) that the images $\tilde{W}=f(z)$ of $z e-$ ros $\tilde{z},|\tilde{z}|<1$, of the function $\mu(z)$ are zeros of the function $\mathcal{N}(w)$ since $f^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$, whereas from the very form of the function $M(w)$ it follows that also the points $\bar{W}, \frac{1}{\tilde{W}^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{W}}$ are its zeros. Besides, it 18 well known that the function $M(w)$ has on the circle $|w|=1$ at least one double zero $w_{0}$. From the above properties of the function $M(w)$ we deduce that, for $M>$ $>M_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(w)=\frac{\left(w-w_{0}\right)^{2}}{w^{k-1}} \hat{L}(w) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{0}=-1$ or $w_{0}=1, \hat{L}(w)$ is some polynomial of degree $2 K-4$, and $\hat{L}(w) \neq 0$ for $|w|=1$.

To sum up, we have shown that, for $M>M_{0}$, every function $W=f(z)=\frac{1}{M} \tilde{F}(z)$, where $F$ is an extremal function, satisfies equation (14), where $\mathcal{U}(w)$ and $\mathcal{f}(z)$ are given by formulae (23) and (22), respectively.

### 3.2. Determination of extremal function

From the $\mathrm{R} \circ \mathrm{y}$ den theorem [8] one knows that every function $w=f(z)=\frac{1}{M} F(z)$ satisfying equation (14) maps the disc $E$ onto the disc. $|w|<1$ lacking a finite number of analytic arcs $1_{1}, 1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{j}, j \geqslant 1$, with the following properties ([9], parts III, IV) :
$1^{0}$ The arcs $1_{1}, 1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{y}$ lie in the disc $|w|<1$ except, at most, their ends.
$2^{\circ}$ They are disjoint except, at most, their ends.
$3^{\circ}$ Each common point of the arc and the circle $|w|=1$, or of two arcs, is a zero of the function $d(w)$ given by formula (15) ; the number of arcs and their behaviour in the neighbourhood of such common point depend on the multiplicity of the zero (see [9], part III).
$4^{\circ}$ The union of the arcs $1_{1}, 1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{j}$ and of the circle $|w|=$ $=1$ constitutes a continuum.

## $5^{\circ}$ Along each of the arcs,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \int \sqrt{\mu(w)} \frac{d w}{w}=\text { const. } \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d l(w)$ is a function defined by (15), and under the integral sign there occurs any branch of the root.
$6^{\circ}$ At least one of the ends of each arc is a zero of the function $\mu(w)$ given by (15).
$7^{\circ}$ None of the arcs passes through the point $w=0$.
We shall now prove that every function $w=f(z)=\frac{1}{M} F(z)$, where $F$ is an extremal function in the class $S_{R}(M)$ for $M>M_{0}$, maps the disc $E$ onto the dics $|w|<1$ lacking one analytic arc with end at the point $w_{0}$. Really, let us take any function $F$ extremal in $S_{R}(M)$ for $M>M_{0}$. Then the function $w=f(z)=$ $=\frac{1}{M} F(z)$ satisfies differential-functional equation (14), where the functions $d(w)$ and $o f(z)$ are given by formulae (23) and (22), respectively, while the boundary of the image of the disc E under this mapping consists of the circle $|w|=1$ and a finite number of analytic arcs described above.

Note that at least one of these ares must have a common end with the circle $|w|=1$, or else, the arcs along with the cir-
cle would not constitute a continuum. Without loss of generality, assume that $l_{1}$ is the arc. According to property $3^{\circ}$, the common point of the arc $I_{1}$ and the circle $|w|=1$ is a zero of . the function $d(w)$ given by (23). Since this function has on the circle, $|w|=1$ only one zero $w_{0}$ therefore $1_{1}$ must issue from the very point. The point $w_{o}$ is a double zero of the function $\mu(w)$, and it is well known ([9], p. 46) that at the double zero four arcs of (24), equally spaced at an angle of $\frac{\pi}{2}$, meet. Two of them are arcs of the circle $|w|=1$, and consequently, of the remaining two, only one may enter the interior of the circle. This must be the arc $1_{1}$.

Note further that the union of the remaining arcs $1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{j}$ is an empty set. For otherwise, the following cases would be possible: a) one of the arcs $1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{j}$ has a common end $\mathbb{W}_{0}{ }^{*}$ $\neq w_{0}$ with the circle $|w|=1$, so, according to property $3^{\circ}$, \%o would have to be a zero of the function $U(w)$ on the circle $|w|=1$, which is impossible since the only zero of this function on $|w|=1$ is the point $w_{0} ;$ b) any of the arcs $1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{j}$ has a common end with the circle $|w|=1$ at the point $w_{0}$, but then, at this point, more than four arcs of (24) would meet, which contradicts the fact that $w_{0}$ is a double zero of the function $d(w)$ on the circle $|w|=1 ; c)$ the end $\tilde{w}$ of the arc $1_{1}$, lying in the dics $|w|<1$, is also an end of any of the arcs $1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{j}$ and then, according to property $3^{\circ}$, such point $\tilde{w}$ is a zero of function (23); but, as was noted earlier, each zero $\tilde{w}$ of the function $\mu(w)$, lying in the disc $|w|<1$, is the image of some zero $\tilde{z}$ of the function $W(z)$, lying in the disc $|z|<1$, so $\tilde{w}$ is an interior point of the image of the disc $E$ under the mapping $f$, and consequently, it cannot lie on the boundary of this domain; d) none of the arcs $1_{2}, \ldots$, $1_{j}$ has common ends with the circle $|w|=1$ and the arc $1_{1} \mid$ this case is also impossible since, then, the union of the arcs $1_{1}, 1_{2}, \ldots, 1_{j}$ along with the circle $|w|=1$ would not constitute a cantinum, 1.e., property $4^{\circ}$ would not hold.

Consequently, we have proved that the point $w_{0} w_{0}= \pm 1$, is the end of the only cut $1_{1}$ in the image of the disc $E$ under the mapping $w=f(z)=\frac{1}{M} F(z)$, where $F$ is an extremal function in the class $S_{R}(M)$ for $M>M_{0}$.

It follows from the properties of the classes $S_{R}(M)$ consdered that the image $f(E)$ of the disc $E$ under the mapping $w=$ * $f(z)=\frac{1}{M} P(z)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis, ie., if $W \in f(E)$, then also $\bar{W} \in f(E)$.

Making use of the above fact, we shall show that the arc ${ }^{1}$ with end at the point $w_{0}=-1 \quad\left(o r \quad w_{0}=1\right)$, symmetric with respect to the real axis. lies entirely on the real axis (of. [4]). Without loss of generality, assume that $w_{0}=-1$.

Let $h(t)$ be a homeomorphism of the segment $<0,1\rangle$ into the arc $1_{1}$, such that $h(0)=-1$. Suppose, despite of the announcement, that there exists a point $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $\operatorname{Im} h\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$, say, $\operatorname{Im} h\left(t_{0}\right)>0 . \quad$ Denote $T=\quad\left(t \in<0, t_{0}\right)$ : lm $h(t)=0\}$. Of course,
(25)

$$
t^{*}=\sup T \in T \text { and } t^{*}<t_{0}
$$

Besides, from the continuity of $h$ :
(26)

$$
\operatorname{Im} h(t)>0 \text { for } t \in\left(t^{*}, t_{0}\right\rangle
$$

Since, for every point $h(t), t \in\left\langle t^{*}, t_{0}\right\rangle$, the point $\overline{h(t)}$ belongs to the arc 1, , therefore there exists a continuous function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{t}=\hat{t}(t)=h^{-1}(\overline{h(t)}), \quad t \in\left\langle t^{*}, t_{0}\right\rangle \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whose values range over an interval with endpoints

$$
\hat{t}\left(t^{*}\right)=h^{-1}\left(\overline{h\left(t^{*}\right)}\right)=h^{-1}\left(h\left(t^{*}\right)\right)=t^{*}
$$

and
(28)

$$
\hat{t}\left(t_{0}\right)=h^{-1}\left(\overline{h\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)=\hat{t}_{0}
$$

From (26) and (27) it follows immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{t} \notin\left(t^{*}, t_{0}>\right. \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this and (25):
(30)

$$
\hat{t}_{0}<t^{*}
$$

and, of course, $\operatorname{Im} h\left(\hat{t}_{0}\right)<0$.
Let now $\hat{T}=\left\{t \in\left\langle 0, \hat{t}_{0}\right): \operatorname{Im} h(t)=0\right\}$. Of course,
(31)

$$
\hat{t}^{*}=\sup \hat{T} \in \hat{T} \text { and } \hat{\mathrm{t}}^{*}<\hat{\mathrm{t}}_{0}
$$

From the continuity of $h$ :

$$
\operatorname{Im} h(t)<0 \text { for } t \in\left(\hat{t}^{*}, \hat{t}_{Q}>.\right.
$$

Consider, as before, a continuous function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\hat{t}}=\hat{t}(t)=h^{-1}(\overline{h(t)}), \quad t \in\left\langle\hat{t}^{*}, \hat{t}_{0}\right\rangle . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose values now range over an interval with endpoints

$$
\hat{t}\left(\hat{t}^{*}\right)=h^{-1}\left(h\left(\hat{t}^{*}\right)\right)=h^{-1}\left(h\left(\hat{t}^{*}\right)\right)=\hat{t}^{*}
$$

and

$$
\hat{\hat{t}}\left(\hat{t}_{0}\right)=h^{-1}\left(\overline{h\left(\hat{t}_{0}\right)}\right)=\hat{t}_{0} .
$$

From (32) and (33) it follows immediately that

$$
\hat{\hat{t}} \notin\left(\hat{t}^{*}, \hat{t}_{0}\right)
$$

From this and (31):
(34)

$$
\hat{\hat{t}}_{0}<\hat{t}^{*}
$$

In view of (28),

$$
\hat{t}_{0}=h^{-1}\left(h\left(\hat{t}_{0}\right)\right)=h^{-1}\left(h\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=t_{0} .
$$

and consequently, taking account of the inequalities in (34), (31), (30) and (25), we obtain a contradiction.

To sum up, since the point $\omega=0$ belongs to the image of the disc $E$ under the mapping $f$, therefore, for $M>M_{0}$, every function $w=f(z)=\frac{1}{M} F(z)$, where $F$ is an extremal function, maps the disc $|z|<1$ onto the disc $|w|<1$ lacking a segment
on the real axis: a) with one end at the point $w_{0}=-1$ and the other one at some point of the negative real half-axis between $4^{2}-1$ and 0 , or b) with one end at the point $w_{0}=1$ and the other one at some point of the positive real half-axis between 0 and 1. Consequently, from the properties of the Pick function $P_{M}(z)$ as well as from the Riemann theorem it follows that the only such function is in case: a) the function $P_{M}(z)=\frac{1}{M} P_{M}(z)$, Whereas in case b) the function

$$
-p_{M}(-z)=-\frac{1}{M} P_{M}(-z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} p_{n, M} z^{n}
$$

Where $P_{M}(z)$ is a Pick function. Since $P_{N, M}>0$ for $M>M_{o}$, the inequality

$$
\lambda P_{K, M}+\mu P_{N, M}>\lambda p_{K, M}-\mu P_{N, M}
$$

Is self-evident, and finally, the only extremal function realizIng the maximum of functional (8) in the family $S_{R}(M)$ for $M>$ $>M_{0}$ is the Pick function $w=p_{M}(z)$ given by equation (3) and satisfying the condition $P_{M}(0)=0$.

In the case when $N>K$, the proof of the theorem is analogous.

Consider in the family $S_{R}(M), M>1$, a real functional

$$
\hat{J}(F)=\lambda_{0} A_{N F}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} A_{K_{j} F^{\prime}}
$$

Where m is any fixed positive integer, N - an even positive integer, $K_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}$ - odd positive untegers, $\lambda_{0}>0$, $\lambda_{j} \geqslant 0, j=1,2, \ldots, m$.

From the theorem we have just proved follows
corollary. There exists a constant $\hat{\mathrm{M}}_{0}, \hat{\mathrm{M}}_{0}>1$, such that, for every $M>\hat{M}_{o}$ and every function $F \in S_{R}(M)$, the estimation

$$
\hat{f}(F) \leqslant \lambda_{0} P_{N, M}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} P_{K_{j}, M}
$$

holds, where

$$
w=P_{M}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_{n, M} z^{n}, \quad z \in E,
$$

is a Pick function given by equation (3) and satisfying the condition $P_{M}(0)=0$. It is the only function for which equality holds in the above estimation.

## 4. SUMRARY

The paper includes the following result:
Let $S_{R}(M), M>1$, be the class of functions

$$
F(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n F} z^{n}
$$

holomorphic and univalent in the disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$, with ${ }^{\circ}$ real coefficients and such that, if $F \in S_{R}(M)$, then $|F(z)| \leqslant M$ for $z \in E$. Let further $K, N$ be any fixed positive integers, $K$ - odd, $N$ - even; $\lambda, \mu$ - any real numbers, $\lambda \geqslant 0, \mu>0$.

Then there exists a constant $M_{0} M_{0}>1$, such that, for all $M>M_{0}$ and every function $F \in \dot{S}_{R}(M)$, the estimation
(35)

$$
\lambda A_{\mathrm{KF}}+\mu A_{\mathrm{NF}} \leqslant \lambda \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}}+\mu \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}}
$$

is true, where

$$
w=P_{M}(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_{n, M} z^{n}, \quad z \in E
$$

is a Pick function given by the equation

$$
\frac{w}{\left(1-\frac{w}{M}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\dot{z}}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in E,
$$

and satisfying the condition ${ }^{P}(0)=0$. This function is the only one for which, with a given $M, M>M_{0}$, equality holds in estimation (35).

From the theorem proved here follows the estimation ${ }^{A_{N F}} \leqslant$
$\leqslant P_{N, M} N=2,4,6, \ldots$ in the family $S_{R}(M)$, for $M$ sufficiently large ([4], [5]).
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## Krystyna Zyskowska

OGÓLNE OSZACOWANIE WSPÓZCZẎNNIKÓW FUNKCJI SYMETRYCZNYCH OGRANICZONYCH I JEDNOKROTNYCH

Praca zawiera nastepujacy rezultat. Niech $S_{R}(M), M>1$, bedzie klasa funkeji

$$
F(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n F} z^{n}
$$

holoworficznych, jednokrotnych w kole $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$, o nzeczywistych współczynnikach i takich, że jeśli $F \in S_{R}(M)$, to $|F(z)| \leqslant M$ dla $z \in \mathbb{E}$. Niech dalej $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{N}$ beda dowolnymi, ustalonymi liczbami naturalnymi, K - nieperzysce, $N$ - parzyste; $\lambda, \mu$-dowolnymi liczbami rzeczywistymi, $\lambda \geqslant 0, \mu>$ $>0$. Wówczas istnieje stała $M_{0}, M_{0}>1$, taka, źe dla wszystkich $M>M_{0} \quad i$ każdej funkcji $F \in S_{R}(M)$ prawdziwe jest oszacowanie

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda{A_{K P}}^{+} \mu A_{\mathrm{NF}} \leqslant \lambda \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}}+\mu \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}}, \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

gdzie

$$
W=P_{M}(z) \quad z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} P_{n, M} z^{n}, \quad z \in E,
$$

jest funkcja Picka daną rówṇaniem

$$
\frac{w}{\left(1-\frac{w}{M}\right)^{2}}=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \quad z \in E
$$

i speżniajeca warunek $P_{M}(0)=0$. Funkcja ta jest jedynas, dla której przy danym $M_{0} M>M_{0}$, zachodzi xówność woszacowaniu (35).

