

Ryszard J. Pawlak

ON DARBOUX POINTS AND THE PERFECTLY
CLOSED CLASS OF FUNCTIONS

The present paper is intended to discuss problems connected with operations on Darboux functions at some point x_0 .

In many papers has study operations on Darboux functions (see for example [2], [3], [5], [7], [10], [11] and [12]). The present paper is intended to discuss problems connected with operations on Darboux functions at some point x_0 (then we say also that x_0 is Darboux point or that the function possesses Darboux property at x_0 - see [3], [6], [8]). Precisely, in this paper is contained the answer to the following question: whether it is possible to form the perfectly closed family of functions relative to Darboux property at x_0 . This paper end four open problems connected with operations on Darboux functions at point x_0 .

We use the standard notions and notation.

By R we shall denote the set of all real numbers with the natural topology. Suppose that T is a topology in R different than the natural topology, then we shall write for example: T -neighbourhood or T -continuity, to make a distinction between two topologies under consideration (in the case of natural topology we omit the symbol of this topology). We say that a topology T is agree with the natural topology of line at a point x_0 if there exists T -base $B(x_0)$ at x_0 such that $B(x_0)$ is the base for R at x_0 , too. The symbols $\text{Int } A$ and \bar{A} denote the interior and the closure of A (in natural topology of line), respectively. The closure of A in topology T we denote by $\text{cl}_T A$.

If A is a subset of R then by $\mathcal{L}(A)$ we shall denote the set of all components of A (in the natural topology). We say that a set A is dense at x_0 if there exists a neighbourhood U of x_0 such that $U \subset \bar{A}$. In analogously way we can define a set T - dense at x_0 .

Let f be an arbitrary function, then by $C_f(D_f)$ we denote the set of all continuity (discontinuity) points of f . If F is the family of functions then by C_F we denote the intersection $\bigcap_{f \in F} C_f$. By $C(T, A)$, where T is some topology of real line and $A \subset R$, we denote the class of functions $f: (R, T) \rightarrow R$ such that the restriction $f|_A$ is T - continuous. If F is the family of functions, then by symbol $F|_A$ we denote the following class $\{f|_A : f \in F\}$.

The uniformly convergence of a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ to f we denote by $f_n \rightarrow f$. By B_1 we denote the family of all functions in Baire class one.

We say that a family of functions F is uniformly $*$ -quasi-continuous at x_0 , relative to some open set A if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists a positive number $\delta \leq \eta$ such that for each $C \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ for which $C \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \neq \emptyset$ there exists open interval $(a, b) \subset C \cap (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ such that $f((a, b)) \subset (f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon)$, for every $f \in F$. If the family $F = \{f\}$ is uniformly $*$ -quasi-continuous at x_0 , relative to A , then we say that f is $*$ -quasi-continuous at x_0 , relative to A .

We say that the family of function F is uniformly quasi-continuous at x_0 , if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists an open interval $(a, b) \subset (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ such that $f((a, b)) \subset (f(x_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0) + \varepsilon)$, for every $f \in F$. If the family $F = \{f\}$ is uniformly quasi-continuous at x_0 , then we say that f is quasi-continuous at x_0 . In the case if f is quasi-continuous function at every point of its domain, we say short that f is quasi-continuous.

We say that a function $f: (R, T) \rightarrow R$ possesses T - Blumberg set (at x_0) if there exists a set B (containing x_0), T - dense

(at x_0), such that $f|_B$ is T -continuous. We say that a function $f: R \rightarrow R$ possesses a strong set of Blumberg - B , if B is dense in R ; the restriction $f|_B$ is continuous and $f(U) \subset \overline{f(U \cap B)}$, for every open set $U \subset R$.

THEOREM A [9]. For a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow R$ the following conditions are equivalent:

- a) f is quasi-continuous,
- b) f possesses a strong set of Blumberg.

THEOREM B. Let X, Y be the topological spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quasi-continuous function. Then each Blumberg set of f is its strong set of Blumberg.

This theorem is contained in T. Šalats unpublished papers "Some generalizations of the notion of continuity of Blumberg sets of functions".

DEFINITION 1. Let F be a family of function $f: R \rightarrow R$ and $A \subset R$ be dense at $x_0 \in R$. We say that a topology T is quasi-generated by (F, A, x_0) if

1° T is finer than the natural topology of line and it is agree with the natural topology of line at each point of $A \setminus \{x_0\}$ and moreover A is T -dense set at x_0 ;

2° if $f \in F$, then f possesses T -Blumberg set at every point of \bar{A} ;

3° if $f \in C(T, \bar{A})$ then $f|_{\bar{A}}$ possesses a strong set of Blumberg and it is $*$ -quasi-continuous at x_0 , relative to \bar{A} .

DEFINITION 2. Let F be a class of function and \mathcal{P} some property of functions. We say that F is perfectly closed relative to \mathcal{P} if

1° f possesses a property \mathcal{P} , for every $f \in F$;

2° if $f, g \in F$, then $f + g, f \cdot g, \max(f, g), \min(f, g)$ possess the property \mathcal{P} ;

3° if $f_n \in F$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$, then f possesses the property \mathcal{P} .

The following proposition shows that the assumption of quasi-continuity of f at Darboux point x_0 is every natural in the case if the set $f(D_f)$ is "small".

PROPOSITION. Let f be a function such that x_0 is Darboux point of f and $\text{Int } f(D_f \cap [x_0, x_0 + \delta]) = \emptyset$ or $\text{Int } f(D_f(x_0 + \delta, x_0]) = \emptyset$, for some $\delta > 0$. Then f is quasi-continuous at x_0 .

The results connected with operations on Darboux functions suggest the following question: under what assumptions a family of functions F is perfectly closed relative to Darboux property at x_0 . The partial answer to this question is contained in the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let F be the class of functions $f: R \rightarrow R$ such that the set $A = \text{Int } C_F$ is dense at x_0 ,

a) if there exists a topology T quasi-generated by (F, A, x_0) , then $F \subset C(T, \bar{A})$ and moreover F and $C(T, \bar{A})$ are perfectly closed relative to Darboux property at x_0 ;

b) if $F|_{\bar{A}}$ is uniformly quasi-continuous and uniformly $*$ -quasi-continuous at x_0 , relative to A , then there exists a topology T quasi-generated by (F, A, x_0) and consequently, F is perfectly closed relative to Darboux property at x_0 .

Proof a. First we shall show that $F \subset C(T, \bar{A})$. Let $f \in F$ and let $x \in \bar{A}$. Of course, if $x \in A$, then x is T -continuity point of $f|_{\bar{A}}$. Assume that $x \notin A$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let B_x denotes T -Blumberg set at x and finally let U_x , be T -neighbourhood of x such that $U_x \subset \text{cl}_T B_x \cap \text{cl}_T A$ and $f(U_x \cap B_x) \subset (f(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, f(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Remark that the set $B_x \cap A$ is T -dense in U_x (i.e. $U_x \subset \text{cl}_T (B_x \cap A)$). From T -continuity of f on A we deduce that there exists an open set C , T -dense in U_x and such that $f(C) \subset (f(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, f(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$. Now let $y \in U_x \setminus B_x$ and let B_y be T -Blumberg set of f at y . Then the set $B_y \cap C$ is T -dense set at y , and consequently $f(y) \in [f(x) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, f(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}] \subset (f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon)$, which ends the proof of the inclusion $F \subset C(T, \bar{A})$.

Now we shall show that $C(T, \bar{A})$ is perfectly closed relative to Darboux property at x_0 (according to the inclusion $F \subset C(T, \bar{A})$, this means that F is perfectly closed, too). Let δ be positive number such that $[x_0, x_0 + \delta) \subset \bar{A}$. Suppose that $g \in C(T, \bar{A})$. Let

$x_0 \notin C_g$. We shall prove that x_0 is a right-hand Darboux point of g - see [8] (if $x_0 \in C_g$ then, of course, x_0 is Darboux point of g). Assume, to the contrary, that there exist a right-hand cluster number β of g at x_0 ($-\infty \leq \beta \leq \infty$), different from $g(x_0)$ (let, for instance, $\beta > g(x_0)$) and a real number $\alpha \in (g(x_0), \beta)$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$g^{-1}(\alpha) \cap [x_0, x_0 + \delta_1) = \emptyset \quad (1)$$

Let $\delta_2 \leq \min(\delta_1, \delta)$ be a positive real number such that for every $C \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ for which $C \cap (x_0 - \delta_2, x_0 + \delta_2) \neq \emptyset$ there exists an open interval $(a, b) \subset C \cap (x_0 - \delta_2, x_0 + \delta_2)$ such that

$$g((a, b)) \subset (-\infty, \alpha) \quad (2)$$

Let δ_0 be an arbitrary positive real number less than δ_2 . Since $g|_C$ possesses Darboux property, for each $C \in \mathcal{L}(A)$, then, according to (1) and (2), we infer that

$$g(A \cap [x_0, x_0 + \delta_0)) \subset (-\infty, \alpha) \quad (3)$$

Let B^* be a strong Blumberg set for $g|_{\bar{A}}$. Let $z \in B^*$ be a number less than x_0 such that $(z, x_0] \subset \bar{A}$ and let $t \in B^*$ be a number from the open interval $(x_0 + \delta_0, x_0 + \delta)$. It is easy to see, that $g|_{[z, t]}$ possesses a strong Blumberg set - $B^* \cap [z, t]$ and consequently, according to Theorem A, $g|_{[z, t]}$ is quasi-continuous, which means (according to (1) and (3)) that:

$$g([x_0, x_0 + \delta_0]) \subset (-\infty, \alpha).$$

This contradicts the fact that β is right-hand cluster number of g at x_0 . The obtained contradiction proved that x_0 is a right-hand Darboux point of g . In the similar way, we can prove that x_0 is a left-hand Darboux point of g and consequently x_0 is Darboux point of g . Since sum, product, minimum and maximum of two functions from $C(T, \bar{A})$ is again a function from $C(T, \bar{A})$ and moreover $C(T, \bar{A})$ is closed relative to the uniform convergence, then the proof of the fact that $C(T, \bar{A})$ is closed relative to Darboux property at x_0 is finished.

P r o o f b. Let for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ $0 < \delta_n < \frac{1}{n}$ be a number such that for every $C \in \mathcal{l}(A)$, for which $C \cap (x_0 - \delta_n, x_0 + \delta_n) \neq \emptyset$ there exists an open interval $(x_{n,C} - \varepsilon_{n,C}, x_{n,C} + \varepsilon_{n,C}) \subset C \cap (x_0 - \delta_n, x_0 + \delta_n)$ such that $f((x_{n,C} - \varepsilon_{n,C}, x_{n,C} + \varepsilon_{n,C})) \subset (f(x_0) - \frac{1}{n}, f(x_0) + \frac{1}{n})$ for every $f \in F$ (to the simplicity notation we assume that in the case if $C \cap (x_0 - \delta_n, x_0 + \delta_n) = \emptyset$, then $(x_{n,C} - \varepsilon_{n,C}, x_{n,C} + \varepsilon_{n,C}) = \emptyset$).

Put:

$$B(x_0) = \{\{x_0\} \cup \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{l}(A)} (x_{n,C} - \frac{1}{n} \varepsilon_{n,C}, x_{n,C} + \frac{1}{n} \varepsilon_{n,C}) \right) : k = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Let $x \in \bar{A} \setminus (A \cup \{x_0\})$. For every $n = 1, 2, \dots$, by U_n^x we denote an open (in \bar{A}) set such that $U_n^x \subset (x - \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n})$, $x_0 \notin U_n^x$ and $f(U_n^x) \subset (f(x_0) - \frac{1}{n}, f(x_0) + \frac{1}{n})$. Then we put

$$B(x) = \{\{x\} \cup \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} U_n^x : k = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

If $x \in A \setminus \{x_0\}$, then we put

$$B(x) = \{(x - \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n}) : n = k_x, k_x + 1, \dots\},$$

where k_x denote the positive integer such that $(x - \frac{1}{k_x}, x + \frac{1}{k_x}) \subset A$.

In the case if $x \notin \bar{A}$, let $B(x) = \{\{x\}\}$.

It is easy to see that $\{B(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ fulfils conditions of a local base (BP1), (BP2) and (BP3) from [4] p. 28. Let T be the topology generated by neighbourhoodsystem $\{B(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ (see [4] Proposition 1.2.3, p. 39). Infer that T is finer than the natural topology of line and it is agree with the natural topology of line at each point of $A \setminus \{x_0\}$ and moreover A is T -dense set at x_0 . From the construction of $\{B(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ we deduce that if $f \in F$, then f is T -continuous and at the same time \mathbb{R} is T -Blumberg set for every $f \in F$ at every point of \mathbb{R} .

Now, let $f \in C(T, \bar{A})$. It is not hard to prove that $f|_{\bar{A}}$ is α -quasi-continuous at x_0 , relative to A and $f|_{\bar{A}}$ is quasi-continuous. Moreover, it is easy to see that A is Blumberg set for $f|_{\bar{A}}$ and consequently, according to Theorem B, $f|_{\bar{A}}$ possesses the strong set of Blumberg. This ends the proof.

Respecting the above remarks, we can formulate the following problems:

Problem 1. Assume that x_0 is Darboux point of some function $f \in B_1$. Characterize the class of functions g such that $f + g$ possesses Darboux property at x_0 .

Remark that there exists continuous function f and the function $g \in B_1$ such that 0 is Darboux point of g but $f + g$ does not possess Darboux property at 0 .

Problem 2. Let $x_0 \in R$. Characterize the maximal additive class (see [1], Definition 3.1) for the family of function in Baire class one possessing Darboux property at x_0 .

Problem 3. Under what hypothesis (different from the assumptions of our theorem) the uniformly limit of sequence of functions with Darboux property at x_0 also possesses Darboux property at x_0 .

Remark that there exists sequence $\{f_n\} \subset B_1$ such that 0 is Darboux point of $\{f_n\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $f_n \rightarrow f$ but f does not possess Darboux property at 0 .

Problem 4. Under what hypothesis (different from the assumptions of our theorem) the maximum and minimum of two functions with Darboux property at x_0 also possess Darboux property at x_0 .

REFERENCES

- [1] B r u c k n e r A. M., Differentiation of real functions, Berlin 1978.
- [2] B r u c k n e r A. M., C e d e r J. G., On the sum of Darboux functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51 (1975), 97-102.
- [3] B r u c k n e r A. M., C e d e r J. G., Darboux continuity, Jbr. Deutsch. Math. Verein, 67 (1965), 93-117.

- [4] Enkelking R., General topology, Warszawa 1977.
- [5] Fleissner R., A note on Baire 1 Darboux functions, Real Anal. Exch., 3 (1977-1978), 104-106.
- [6] Jędrzejewski J. M., On Darboux Asymmetry, Real Anal. Exch., 7 (1981-1982), 172-176.
- [7] Lipiński J. S., On a problem of Bruckner and Ceder concerning the sum of Darboux functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 62 (1977), 57-61.
- [8] Lipiński J. S., On Darboux Points, Bull. de L'Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. et Phys., 16/11 (1978), 869-873.
- [9] Neugebauer C. J., Blumberg set and quasi-continuity, Math. Zeitschr., 79 (1962), 451-455.
- [10] Pawlak R. J., Przekształcenia Darboux, Acta Univ. Lodz. (1985), 1-148.
- [11] Pawlak R. J., On rings of Darboux functions, Colloq. Math. LIII (1987) 289-300.
- [12] Smital J., On the sum of continuous and Darboux functions Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 60 (1976), 183-184.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Łódź

Ryszard J. Pawlak

O PUNKTACH DARBOUX I DOSKONALE ZAMKNIĘTYCH KLASACH FUNKCJI

W prezentowanym artykule rozważany jest problem związany z możliwością zachowania własności Darboux w ustalonym punkcie przy różnych operacjach wykonywanych na funkcjach posiadających tę własność w danym punkcie.