

Analytic and Algebraic Geometry 4

Łódź University Press 2022, 61–66

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18778/8331-092-3.05>

ON LÊ'S FORMULA IN ARBITRARY CHARACTERISTIC

EVELIA ROSA GARCÍA BARROSO AND ARKADIUSZ PŁOSKI

STRESZCZENIE. In this note we extend, to arbitrary characteristic, Lê's formula (*Calculation of Milnor number of isolated singularity of complete intersection*. Funct. Anal. Appl. 8 (1974), 127–131).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. For any power series $f, g \in K[[x, y]]$ we put $i_0(f, g) := \dim_K K[[x, y]]/(f, g)$ and call it the *intersection multiplicity* of f and g . We denote by $[f, g]$ the Jacobian determinant of (f, g) , that is $[f, g] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$.

For any formal power series $f \in K[[x, y]]$ we denote by $\text{ord} f$ the *order* of f . Any power series of order one is called a *regular parameter*.

Let $f \in K[[x, y]]$ be a power series without constant term. The *Milnor number* of $f \in K[[x, y]]$ is $\mu(f) := i_0\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)$. Suppose that f is reduced, that is, it has no multiple factors. We put $\mathcal{O}_f = K[[x, y]]/(f)$, $\overline{\mathcal{O}_f}$ the integral closure of \mathcal{O}_f in the total quotient ring of \mathcal{O}_f . Let \mathcal{C} be the *conductor* of \mathcal{O}_f , that is the largest ideal in \mathcal{O}_f which remains an ideal in $\overline{\mathcal{O}_f}$. We define $c(f) = \dim_K \overline{\mathcal{O}_f}/\mathcal{C}$ (the *degree of conductor*) and $r(f)$ the number of irreducible factors of f .

We define

$$\overline{\mu}(f) := c(f) - r(f) + 1.$$

If the characteristic of K is zero then $\mu(f) = \overline{\mu}(f)$. See [GB-Pł, Proposition 2.1] for other properties of $\overline{\mu}(f)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14H20, Secondary 32S05.

Key words and phrases. Milnor number, jacobian determinant.

The first-named author was partially supported by the Spanish Project PID2019-105896GB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033.

The main result of this note is to extend L  's formula (see [L] and [G]) to arbitrary characteristic:

Theorem A (L  's formula in arbitrary characteristic). *Let l be a regular parameter. Let $f, g \in K[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ be coprime without constant term. Suppose that f is reduced and let $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$ be the factorization of f into irreducible factors. If $i_0(f_i, l) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ then*

$$(1) \quad i_0(f, [f, g]) \geq \bar{\mu}(f) + i_0(f, g) - 1.$$

The equality in (1) holds if and only if $i_0(f_i, g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Corollary to Theorem A. *If f is irreducible and $\text{ord } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ then*

$$(2) \quad i_0(f, [f, g]) \geq c(f) + i_0(f, g) - 1.$$

The equality in (2) holds if and only if $i_0(f, g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Remark 1.1. *The assumption $\text{ord } f \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ in the above corollary is irrelevant (see [H-R-S1, Corollary 2.4]).*

2. PROOF OF L  'S FORMULA

Let t be a variable. A *parametrization* is a pair $(x(t), y(t)) \in K[[t]]^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $x(0) = y(0) = 0$. We say that the parametrization $(x(t), y(t))$ is *good* if the field of fractions of the ring $K[[x(t), y(t)]]$ is equal to the field $K((t))$. By the Normalization Theorem (see for example [P  , Theorem 2.1]), any irreducible power series in $K[[x, y]]$ admits a good parametrization.

The proof of L  's formula will be a consequence of two lemmas. Let $f, g \in K[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ be without constant term.

Lemma 2.1 (Teissier's lemma in arbitrary characteristic). *Let l be a regular parameter and let $f \in K[[x, y]]$ be a reduced power series with factorization $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$ into irreducible factors. Suppose that $i_0(f_i, l) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then*

$$i_0(f, [f, l]) = \bar{\mu}(f) + i_0(f, l) - 1.$$

Proof. See [GB-P  , Proposition 2.1(iii)].   

The following lemma generalizes to arbitrary characteristic *Delgado's Formula* (see [D, Proposition 2.1.1] or [Ca, Proposition 2.4.1]).

Lemma 2.2 (Delgado's formula). *Let $f, g \in K[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ be coprime and l be a regular parameter. Suppose that f is reduced and $f = f_1 \cdots f_r$ is its factorization into irreducible factors. If $i_0(f_i, l) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ then*

$$(3) \quad i_0(f, [f, g]) \geq i_0(f, g) + i_0(f, [f, l]) - i_0(f, l)$$

with equality if and only if $i_0(f_i, g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for any irreducible factor f_i of f .

Proof. We may assume, without loss of generality, that $l(x, y) = x$, hence $[f, l] = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ and $i_0(f, l) = \text{ord} f(0, y)$. Let f_i be an irreducible factor of f and let $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ be a good parametrization of the curve $\{f_i(x, y) = 0\}$. We get

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))x'(t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))y'(t) = 0.$$

Since f and g are coprime, f_i is not a factor of g , that is $g(x(t), y(t)) \neq 0$ and

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))x'(t) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))y'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}g(\gamma(t)).$$

Consider the system, in the unknowns U and V :

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))U + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))V = 0 \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))U + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))V = \frac{d}{dt}g(\gamma(t)). \end{cases}$$

By (4) and (5) the pair $(x'(t), y'(t))$ is a solution of the system (6). By Cramer's identities we get $[f, g](\gamma(t))x'(t) = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))\frac{d}{dt}g(\gamma(t))$ and taking orders we obtain

$$(7) \quad \text{ord}[f, g](\gamma(t)) + \text{ord}x'(t) = \text{ord}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\gamma(t)) + \text{ord}\frac{d}{dt}g(\gamma(t)).$$

Since $i_0(f_i, x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ we have $\text{ord}x(t) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and consequently $\text{ord}x'(t) = \text{ord}x(t) - 1$. Analogously $\text{ord}\frac{d}{dt}g(\gamma(t)) \geq \text{ord}g(\gamma(t)) - 1$, with equality if and only if, $\text{ord}g(\gamma(t)) = i_0(f_i, g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. From (7) we get

$$(8) \quad i_0(f_i, [f, g]) + i_0(f_i, x) \geq i_0(f_i, g) + i_0\left(f_i, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right),$$

with equality if $i_0(f_i, g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Summing up the inequalities (8), we obtain

$$i_0(f, [f, g]) + i_0(f, x) \geq i_0(f, g) + i_0\left(f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)$$

with equality if $i_0(f_i, g) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. □

Remark 2.3. *A particular case of Delgado's formula in arbitrary characteristic appears in [H-R-S2, Lemma 3.5].*

Proof of Theorem A It is a consequence of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. □

3. THE CASE OF CHARACTERISTIC ZERO

If the characteristic of K is zero then we have the following version of Lê's formula.

Theorem B (Lê's formula in zero characteristic). *Let $f, g \in K[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ be without constant term. Then*

$$(9) \quad i_0(f, [f, g]) = \mu(f) + i_0(f, g) - 1.$$

The left-hand side of (9) is infinite if and only if the right-hand side is so.

The following lemma is well-known (see for example [CN-P1]):

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f, g \in K[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ be without constant term.*

- (1) $i_0(f, g) = +\infty$ *if and only if f and g are not coprime.*
- (2) $\mu(f) = +\infty$ *if and only if f is not reduced.*

The following general property also will be useful:

Property 3.2. *Let $h(x, y) \in K[[x, y]]$ be an irreducible power series. Let $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ be a good parametrization of $h(x, y)$. Then $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\gamma(t)) \neq 0$ or $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(\gamma(t)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\gamma(t)) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(\gamma(t)) = 0$. This implies $\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ or $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$. Hence $\text{ord} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \geq \text{ord } h$ and $\text{ord} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \geq \text{ord } h$. This is a contradiction since if the characteristic of K is zero then $\text{ord} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = \text{ord } h - 1$ or $\text{ord} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = \text{ord } h - 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem B If $\mu(f) + i_0(f, g)$ is finite then $\mu(f)$ is also. Hence, by the second part of Lemma 3.1, f is reduced and $\mu(f) = \bar{\mu}(f)$. Therefore, in this case, Theorem B follows from Theorem A.

The case where one of the two sides of (9) is infinite follows from the following proposition, which is equivalent to [Sz, Theorem 3.6].

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f, g \in K[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ be without constant term. The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mu(f) = +\infty$ or $i_0(f, g) = +\infty$.
- (2) $i_0(f, [f, g]) = +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mu(f) = +\infty$ or $i_0(f, g) = +\infty$. In order to prove the equality $i_0(f, [f, g]) = +\infty$, we distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $\mu(f) = +\infty$. There is an irreducible power series $h \in K[[x, y]]$ such that $f \equiv 0 \pmod{h^2}$. Therefore $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ which implies $[f, g] \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$. Since $f \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ we conclude $i_0(f, [f, g]) = +\infty$ by properties of the intersection multiplicity.

Case 2: $i_0(f, g) = +\infty$. There exists an irreducible power series $h \in K[[x, y]]$ such that $f \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ and $g \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$. Let $f = a \cdot h$ and $g = b \cdot h$ for some $a, b \in K[[x, y]]$. Observe that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \equiv ab \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \equiv \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \pmod{h}$, hence $[f, g] \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$. Since h is an irreducible factor of f and $[f, g]$ we conclude $i_0(f, [f, g]) = +\infty$.

Suppose now that $i_0(f, [f, g]) = +\infty$. There is an irreducible power series $h \in K[[x, y]]$ such that $f \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$ and $[f, g] \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$. If $f \equiv 0 \pmod{h^2}$ then, by the second part of Lemma 3.1, $\mu(f) = +\infty$. Suppose that $f = hf_1$ for some $f_1 \in K[[x, y]]$ with $f_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{h}$. We have $[f, g] = [hf_1, g] = h[f_1, g] + f_1[h, g]$. Since h is an irreducible factor of $[f, g]$, we get $f_1[h, g] \equiv 0 \pmod{h}$. Let $\gamma(t) := (x(t), y(t))$ be a good parametrization of h . By Property 3.2 we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(\gamma(t)) \neq 0$.

From the identity $h(\gamma(t)) = 0$ we get

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))x'(t) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))y'(t) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since h is an irreducible factor of $[h, g]$, we get $[h, g](\gamma(t)) = 0$, hence

$$(11) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\gamma(t)) + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))\left(-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))\right) = 0.$$

From (10) and (11) we get that the pair $\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(\gamma(t)), \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))\right)$ is a nonzero solution of the system, in the unknowns U and V :

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} x'(t)U + y'(t)V = 0 \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(\gamma(t))U + \left(-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(\gamma(t))\right)V = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence the determinant of the matrix associated with system (12) equals $\frac{d}{dt}g(\gamma(t)) = 0$ so $g(\gamma(t)) = 0$. Given that h is a common factor of f and g , we conclude that $i_0(f, g) = +\infty$. \square

Remark 3.4. *Proposition 3.3 does not hold when the characteristic p of the field K is positive: consider $f(x, y) = y^p + x^{p+1}$ and $g(x, y) = x + y$, then $\mu(f) = +\infty$ but $i_0(f, [f, g]) = p^2$.*

LITERATURA

- [Ca] E. Casas-Alvero, *Singularities of plane curves*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [CN-Pl] P. Cassou-Noguès, A. Płoski, *Invariants of plane curve singularities and Newton diagrams*. Univ. Iag. Acta Mathematica 49 (2011), 9–34.
- [D] F. Delgado de la Mata, *The semigroup of values of a curve singularity with several branches*, Manuscripta Math. 59 (1987), no. 3, 347–374.
- [GB-Pl] E. García Barroso, A. Płoski, *On the Milnor formula in arbitrary characteristic*. In Singularities, Algebraic Geometry, Commutative Algebra and Related Topics. Festschrift for Antonio Campillo on the Occasion of his 65th Birthday. G.M. Greuel, L. Narvaéz and S. Xambó-Descamps eds. Springer, (2018), 119–133, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96827-8.05>.
- [G] G.-M. Greuel, *Der Gauss-Manin-Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten*. Math. Ann. 214, 235–266 (1975).
- [H-R-S1] A. Hefez, J. H. O. Rodrigues, R. Salomão, *The Milnor number of plane branches with tame semigroup of values*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc., New Series 49 (2018), 789–809.
- [H-R-S2] A. Hefez, J. H. O. Rodrigues, R. Salomão, *Kähler differentials on a plane curve and a counterexample to a result of Zariski in positive characteristic*, Topology Appl. 235 (2018), 157–166.
- [L] D. T. Lê, *Calculation of Milnor number of isolated singularity of complete intersection*. Funct. Anal. Appl. 8 (1974), 127–131.
- [Pl] A. Płoski, *Introduction to the local theory of plane algebraic curves* In: T. Krasinski, S. Spodzieja (eds). Analytic and Algebraic Geometry, pp. 115–134. Łódź University Press, Łódź (2013).
- [Sz] A. Szawlowski, *The Geometry of the Milnor Number*, Dissertation, Göttingen 2012.

(Evelia Rosa García Barroso) DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, ESTADÍSTICA E I.O. SECCIÓN DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA APARTADO DE CORREOS 456, 38200 LA LAGUNA, TENERIFE, ESPAÑA

Email address: `ergarcia@ull.es`

(Arkadiusz Płoski) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, KIELCE UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, AL. TYSIĄCLECIA PAŃSTWA POLSKIEGO 7, 25-314 KIELCE, POLAND

Email address: `matap@tu.kielce.pl`