

Maria Banaszczyk

AN INTEGRAL FORMULA FOR  
A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD  
WITH TWO ORTHOGONAL DISTRIBUTIONS

We derive global results concerning integrals of curvatures for closed oriented Riemannian manifolds.

Let  $D$  be a distribution on a Riemannian manifold  $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . The second fundamental form  $B$  of  $D$  is defined in the following way:  $B(X, Y)$  is the normal component of the field  $\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X Y + \nabla_Y X)$  where  $X, Y$  are two tangent vector fields to  $D$  (see [1]) and  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$ . The trace  $H$  of the form  $B$  is called the mean curvature vector of  $D$ . Let  $D_1, D_2$  be two orthogonal distributions on  $M$  such that  $\dim D_1 + \dim D_2 \geq \dim M$ . Let us put  $D_3 = D_1 \cap D_2$ . In this paper we consider the mean curvature vectors  $H_k$  of  $D_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , and calculate the quantity  $\operatorname{div} H_1 + \operatorname{div} H_2 - \operatorname{div} H_3$ . Applying the Green theorem for  $M$  closed and oriented, we derive global results concerning integrals of curvatures.

The results obtained generalize a theorem proved in [2] for two complementary orthogonal distributions.

The author is indebted to Paweł Walczak for suggesting the problem and for his helpful remarks.

---

Supported by the Polish Scientific Grant RP. I 10 .

Throughout the paper, manifolds, fields, metrics etc. are assumed to be  $C^\infty$ -differentiable.

Let  $D^\perp$  denote the orthogonal complement of a distribution  $D$ . We say that a distribution  $D_1$  is orthogonal to a distribution  $D_2$  if the intersection  $D_1 \cap (D_1 \cap D_2)^\perp$  is orthogonal to the intersection  $D_2 \cap (D_1 \cap D_2)^\perp$ . Suppose that  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  is a local orthonormal frame on  $M$  and assume that

- (i)  $e_i$  is tangent to  $D_2^\perp$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \dim D_2^\perp$ ,
- (ii)  $e_\alpha$  is tangent to  $D_1^\perp$  for  $\alpha = \dim D_2^\perp + 1, \dots, \dim D_1^\perp + \dim D_2^\perp$ ,
- (iii)  $e_j$  is tangent to  $D_1 \cap D_2$  for  $j = \dim(D_1 \cap D_2)^\perp + 1, \dots, \dim M$ .

If  $v$  is a vector tangent to  $M$ , we write

$$v = v^{\top 1} + v^{\top 2} + v^{\top 3}$$

where  $v^{\top 1}$  is tangent to  $D_2^\perp$ ,  $v^{\top 2}$  is tangent to  $D_1^\perp$  and  $v^{\top 3}$  is tangent to  $D_1 \cap D_2$ .

By  $v^{\perp k}$  and  $v^{\top D_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , we denote, respectively, the components of  $v$  orthogonal and tangent to  $D_k$ . The integrability tensors  $T_k$  of  $D_k$  are defined by the formula

$$T_k(X_k, Y_k) = \frac{1}{2}[X_k, Y_k]^{\perp k}$$

for vector fields  $X_k, Y_k$  tangent to  $D_k$ .

Let  $B_k$  be the second fundamental form of  $D_k$ . The mean curvature vectors  $H_k$  of  $D_k$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \sum_i B_1(e_i, e_i) + \sum_j B_1(e_j, e_j) \\ &= \sum_i (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\perp 1} + \sum_j (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\perp 1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= \sum_\alpha B_2(e_\alpha, e_\alpha) + \sum_j B_2(e_j, e_j) \\ &= \sum_\alpha (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\perp 2} + \sum_j (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\perp 2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$H_3 = \sum_j B_3(e_j, e_j) = \sum_j (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\perp 3}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div} H_1 &= -|H_1|^2 + \sum_{\alpha} \langle \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} H_1, e_{\alpha} \rangle \\
&= -|H_1|^2 + \sum_{\alpha, i} \langle \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2}, e_{\alpha} \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha, j} \langle \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 2}, e_{\alpha} \rangle, \\
\operatorname{div} H_2 &= -|H_2|^2 + \sum_i \langle \nabla_{e_i} H_2, e_i \rangle \\
(1) \quad &= -|H_2|^2 + \sum_{\alpha, i} \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} e_i)^{\top 1}, e_i \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{i, j} \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 1}, e_i \rangle, \\
\operatorname{div} H_3 &= -|H_3|^2 + \sum_i \langle \nabla_{e_i} H_3, e_i \rangle + \sum_{\alpha} \langle \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} H_3, e_{\alpha} \rangle \\
&= -|H_3|^2 + \sum_{i, \alpha, j} (-\langle (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 2}, \nabla_{e_i} e_i \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 1}, \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} e_{\alpha} \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 1}, e_i \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 2}, e_{\alpha} \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div} H_1 + \operatorname{div} H_2 &= -|H_1|^2 - |H_2|^2 + \sum_{i, \alpha, j} (\langle \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2}, e_{\alpha} \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 2}, e_{\alpha} \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} e_{\alpha})^{\top 1}, e_i \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_j} e_j)^{\top 1}, e_i \rangle) = \operatorname{div} H_3 - |H_1|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -|H_2|^2 + |H_3|^2 + \sum_{i,\alpha,j} (\langle (\nabla_{e_j} e_i)^{\top 2}, \nabla_{e_i} e_i \rangle \\
& + \langle (\nabla_{e_j} e_i)^{\top 1}, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2}, e_\alpha \rangle \\
& + \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 1}, e_i \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we calculate the last component of the above sum by applying the definition of the curvature tensor  $R$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad & \sum_{i,\alpha} (\langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2}, e_\alpha \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 1}, e_i \rangle) \\
& = \sum_{i,\alpha} (2\langle R(e_i, e_\alpha) e_\alpha, e_i \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, e_i \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle \\
& + 2\langle \nabla_{[e_\alpha, e_i]} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 1}, e_\alpha \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 3}, e_\alpha \rangle \\
& - \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 2}, e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 3}, e_i \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad & \sum_{i,\alpha} \langle \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle \\
& = \sum_{i,\alpha} (-e_i \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha \rangle), \\
& \sum_{i,\alpha} \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, e_i \rangle \\
& = \sum_{i,\alpha} (-e_\alpha \langle e_\alpha, \nabla_{e_i} e_i \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3') \quad & e_\alpha \langle e_\alpha, \nabla_{e_i} e_i \rangle = e_\alpha \langle e_\alpha, (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle \\
& = \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha, (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle + \langle e_\alpha, \nabla_{e_\alpha} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle, \\
& e_i \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha \rangle = e_i \langle e_i, (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle \\
& = \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_i, (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle + \langle e_i, \nabla_{e_i} (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us put

$$\begin{aligned} K(D_1, D_2) &= \sum_{i,\alpha} \langle R(e_i, e_\alpha) e_\alpha, e_i \rangle, \\ H_{11} &= \sum_i ((\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2} + (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 3}), \\ H_{22} &= \sum_\alpha ((\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} + (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 3}). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing equalities (1), (2) and (3), (3'), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div } H_1 + \text{div } H_2 - \text{div } H_3 &= -|H_1|^2 - |H_2|^2 + |H_3|^2 \\ &+ K(D_1, D_2) + \sum_{i,\alpha,j} (\langle \nabla_{[e_\alpha, e_i]} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 3}, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha \rangle \\ (4) \quad &- \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i \rangle + \langle (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\alpha)^{\top 1}, \nabla_{e_j} e_j \rangle \\ &+ \langle (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)^{\top 2}, \nabla_{e_j} e_j \rangle) + \langle H_{11}, H_{22} \rangle + \langle H_{11}, H_3 \rangle + \langle H_{22}, H_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$  for arbitrary vector fields on  $M$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_{[e_\alpha, e_i]} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle &= \sum_{p,\beta,j} (\langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, e_p \rangle \langle \nabla_{e_p} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle \\ &+ \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, e_\beta \rangle \langle \nabla_{e_\beta} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, e_j \rangle \langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle \\ (5) \quad &- \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, e_p \rangle \langle \nabla_{e_p} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle \langle \nabla_{e_\beta} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle \\ &- \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, e_j \rangle \langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle) = \sum_{p,\beta,j} (\langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, (\nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta, (\nabla_{e_\beta} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_j, (\nabla_{e_j} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle \\ &+ \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_p, (\nabla_{e_p} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_j, (\nabla_{e_j} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle).$$

From (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_{[e_\alpha, e_i]} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i \rangle &= -\langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i, (\nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha)^{\top 3} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta, (\nabla_{e_\beta} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_j, (\nabla_{e_j} e_\alpha)^{\top 1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_p, (\nabla_{e_p} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle + \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_j, (\nabla_{e_j} e_i)^{\top 2} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall introduce some notation:

$$2B_1(e_\gamma, e_\delta) = (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\delta)^{\top 2} + (\nabla_{e_\delta} e_\gamma)^{\top 2},$$

$$2T_1(e_\gamma, e_\delta) = (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\delta)^{\top 2} - (\nabla_{e_\delta} e_\gamma)^{\top 2}$$

for  $\gamma, \delta \in \{1, \dots, \dim D_2^\perp\} \cup \{\dim D_1^\perp + \dim D_2^\perp + 1, \dots, m\} = A_1$ ,

$$2B_2(e_\gamma, e_\delta) = (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\delta)^{\top 1} + (\nabla_{e_\delta} e_\gamma)^{\top 1},$$

$$2T_2(e_\gamma, e_\delta) = (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\delta)^{\top 1} - (\nabla_{e_\delta} e_\gamma)^{\top 1}$$

for  $\gamma, \delta \in \{\dim D_1^\perp + 1, \dots, m\} = A_2$ ,

$$2B_{12}(e_\gamma, e_\delta) = (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\delta)^{\top 3} + (\nabla_{e_\delta} e_\gamma)^{\top 3},$$

$$2T_{12}(e_\gamma, e_\delta) = (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\delta)^{\top 3} - (\nabla_{e_\delta} e_\gamma)^{\top 3}$$

for  $\gamma, \delta \in \{1, \dots, \dim D_1^\perp + \dim D_2^\perp\} = A_3$ ,

$$2B_3(e_j, e_q) = (\nabla_{e_j} e_q)^{\perp 3} + (\nabla_{e_q} e_j)^{\perp 3},$$

$$2T_3(e_j, e_q) = (\nabla_{e_j} e_q)^{\perp 3} - (\nabla_{e_q} e_j)^{\perp 3},$$

$$2B_{11}(e_i, e_p) = (\nabla_{e_i} e_p)^{\top D_2} + (\nabla_{e_p} e_i)^{\top D_2},$$

$$2T_{11}(e_i, e_p) = (\nabla_{e_i} e_p)^{\top D_2} - (\nabla_{e_p} e_i)^{\top D_2},$$

$$2B_{22}(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta)^{\top D_1} + (\nabla_{e_\beta} e_\alpha)^{\top D_1},$$

$$2T_{22}(e_\alpha, e_\beta) = (\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta)^{\top D_1} - (\nabla_{e_\beta} e_\alpha)^{\top D_1}.$$

Let us notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in A_1} \langle (\nabla_{e_\gamma} e_\delta)^{\top 2}, (\nabla_{e_\delta} e_\gamma)^{\top 2} \rangle &= \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in A_1} (|B_1(e_\gamma, e_\delta)|^2 - |T_1(e_\gamma, e_\delta)|^2) \\ &= |B_1|^2 - |T_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the remaining forms, analogous equalities are true. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad &\sum_{i, \alpha} (\langle \nabla_{[e_\alpha, e_i]} e_i, e_\alpha \rangle - \langle \nabla_{e_i} e_\alpha, \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_i \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|B_1|^2 + |B_2|^2 + |B_{11}|^2 + |B_{22}|^2 - |B_3|^2 - |B_{12}|^2 \\ &\quad - |T_1|^2 - |T_2|^2 - |T_{11}|^2 - |T_{22}|^2 + |T_3|^2 + |T_{12}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Equalities (4) and (6) lead us to the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad &\operatorname{div} H_1 + \operatorname{div} H_2 - \operatorname{div} H_3 = K(D_1, D_2) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^2 (-|H_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (|B_i|^2 + |B_{ii}|^2 - |T_i|^2 - |T_{ii}|^2)) \\ &+ |H_3|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (-|B_3|^2 - |B_{12}|^2 + |T_3|^2 + |T_{12}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.** *If  $D_1, D_2$  are two orthogonal distributions on a Riemannian manifold  $M$ , such that  $\dim D_1 + \dim D_2 \geq \dim M$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div} H_1 + \operatorname{div} H_2 - \operatorname{div} H_3 = K(D_1, D_2) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^2 (-|H_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (|B_i|^2 + |B_{ii}|^2 - |T_i|^2 - |T_{ii}|^2)) \\ &+ |H_3|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (-|B_3|^2 - |B_{12}|^2 + |T_3|^2 + |T_{12}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_n, H_n, T_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3$ , denote, respectively, the second fundamental forms, mean curvature vectors and integrability tensors of

$D_1, D_2, D_3 = D_1 \cap D_2$ ;  $B_{ii}, T_{ii}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are, respectively, the second fundamental forms and integrability tensors of  $(D_1 \cap D_2)^\perp \cap D_i$ ;  $B_{12}, T_{12}$  are the second fundamental forms and integrability tensors of  $(D_1 \cap D_2)^\perp$ .

This is a direct consequence of (7). Now, applying the Green theorem, we obtain the following result:

**Theorem.** *If  $D_1, D_2$  are two orthogonal distributions on a closed oriented Riemannian manifold  $M$ , such that  $\dim D_1 + \dim D_2 \geq \dim M$ , then*

$$\int_M \left( K(D_1, D_2) + \sum_{i=1}^2 (-|H_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|B_i|^2 + |B_{ii}|^2 - |T_i|^2 - |T_{ii}|^2)) + |H_3|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-|B_3|^2 - |B_{12}|^2 + |T_3|^2 + |T_{12}|^2) \right) \Omega = 0$$

where  $\Omega$  is the volume element on  $M$ .

**Corollary.** *If  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  are two orthogonal foliations on a closed oriented Riemannian manifold  $M$ , such that  $\dim F_1 + \dim F_2 \geq \dim M$ , then*

$$\int_M \left( K(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) + \sum_{i=1}^2 (-|H_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(|B_i|^2 + |B_{ii}|^2 - |T_{ii}|^2)) + |H_3|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-|B_3|^2 - |B_{12}|^2 + |T_{12}|^2) \right) \Omega = 0$$

where  $\Omega$  is the volume element on  $M$ .

This follows immediately from our theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B.L. Reinhart, *Foliated manifolds with bundle-like metrics*, Ann. of Math. **69** (1959), 119–132.
- [2] P.G. Walczak, *An integral formula for a Riemannian manifold with two orthogonal complementary distributions*, Colloq. Math. **58** (1989), 85–94.

*Maria Banaszczyk*

**WZÓR CAŁKOWY DLA ROZMAITOŚCI  
RIEMANNOWSKIEJ Z DWIEMA  
DYSTRYBUCJAMI ORTOGONALNYMI**

Praca zawiera globalne wyniki dotyczące całek z krzywizn na zorientowanej zwartej rozmaistości riemannowskiej bez brzegu.

Institute of Mathematics

Lódź University

ul. Banacha 22, 90 - 238 Lódź, Poland

email: mzbanasz@krysia.uni.lodz.pl

In [1] it was proved [2] that, for every  $\alpha > 0$  and every  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  such that for every  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $\rho = \rho(\delta, \gamma)$  such that for every  $f \in C^{\alpha}([0, 1])$  and every  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have  $|f(x)| \leq \rho$  if and only if  $\int_0^1 |f'(t)|^{\beta} dt \leq \delta$ . In this paper we shall prove that this theorem remains true if we replace the notion of an maximal derivative number  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha + \beta\gamma$  so that gives another for the theory of approximation.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the set of continuous real valued functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  furnished with the metric of uniform convergence. We say a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  has a  $P_{\beta}$ -property if and only if the set of  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  with this property is residual in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The notation used throughout this paper is standard. In particular,  $\mathbb{R}$  stands for the set of real numbers,  $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  for the  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets of the first category,  $\mathbb{N}^A$  for the power set  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ ,  $D(f, r)$  for the open ball in  $\mathcal{C}$  with centre  $f$  and radius  $r$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_A$  for the Borel  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets of measure zero in  $A$ .

**Definition 1.** ([3]) We say that  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is an upper  $\beta$ -density point of a set  $S$  having the Baire property if and only if every analytic decreasing sequence of real numbers  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\omega$ .